# Recent Advances in Dimensionality Reduction with Provable Guarantees 

Jelani Nelson<br>Harvard

July 12, 2018

## General setup

We have high-dimensional data, e.g.

- Machine learning. Database of e-mails featurized as high-dimensional vectors; we want to learn a spam classifier.
- Bioinformatics. Motif discovery in DNA sequences.
- Computational geometry. Fingerprint matching in a large database.
- Data mining. Clustering similar featurized objects.
- Compression and fast image acquisition. Compressed sensing.
- Large-scale linear algebra. Low-rank approximation or regression on a huge matrix.
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Can we reduce dimensionality of the data in a pre-processing step, in a way that doesn't disrupt downstream applications?

- Faster running times (lower dimension = faster algorithms)
- Save space
- Minimize communication for distributed applications


Random projection method: pick some random linear map, $x \mapsto \Pi x$, and apply $\Pi$ to input as a pre-processing step
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- Multidimensional scaling
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- Hessian Eigenmaps
- ...


## Other dimensionality reduction methods?

- Principal component analysis (PCA)
- Kernel PCA
- Multidimensional scaling
- ISOMAP
- Hessian Eigenmaps
- ...

Random projection is orthogonal to, and complements, other dimensionality reduction methods. Its purpose is to make other algorithms more efficient, not be the data analysis algorithm. (will say more soon)

## Cornerstone dim. reduction/random projections result

JL lemma [Johnson, Lindenstrauss '84]
For every $X \subset \ell_{2}$ of size $n$, there is an embedding $f: X \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ for $m=O\left(\varepsilon^{-2} \log n\right)$ with distortion $1+\varepsilon$. That is, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
(1-\varepsilon)\|x-y\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\|_{2}^{2} \leq(1+\varepsilon)\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

## Cornerstone dim. reduction/random projections result

JL lemma [Johnson, Lindenstrauss '84]
For every $X \subset \ell_{2}$ of size $n$, there is an embedding $f: X \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ for $m=O\left(\varepsilon^{-2} \log n\right)$ with distortion $1+\varepsilon$. That is, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
(1-\varepsilon)\|x-y\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\|_{2}^{2} \leq(1+\varepsilon)\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Summary: For any $n$ vectors in arbitrary dimension, can map to $O(\log n)$ dim. while approximately preserving Euclidean geometry.

## How to prove the JL lemma

Distributional JL (DJL) lemma
Lemma (DJL lemma [Johnson, Lindenstrauss '84])
For any $0<\varepsilon, \delta<1 / 2$ and $d \geq 1$ there exists a distribution $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ for $m=O\left(\varepsilon^{-2} \log (1 / \delta)\right)$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
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Proof of JL: Set $\delta=1 / n^{2}$ in DJL and $z$ as the difference vector of some pair of points. Union bound over the $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs. Thus the map $f: X \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ can be linear: $f(x)=\Pi x$.

First proof of DJL in [JL'84] took $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ as (scaled) orthogonal projection onto a random $m$-dimensional subspace.
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A lower bound is also shown, that the $2^{2^{O\left(\log ^{*} k\right)}}$ term must be $\omega(1)$ (specifically $2^{\Omega(\alpha(k))}$ ).
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- [Arriaga-Vempala, 1999], [Matousek, 2008]: Independent entries having mean 0 , variance $1 / m$, and subGaussian tails
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Downside: Performing embedding is dense matrix-vector multiplication, $O\left(m \cdot\|x\|_{0}\right)$ time
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Downside: Slow to embed sparse vectors: running time is $\Omega\left(\min \left\{m \cdot\|x\|_{0}, d \log d\right\}\right)$.

## CountSketch [Charikar-Chen-FarachColton'02]



- partition $m$ rows into $s$ blocks of size $w=\frac{m}{s}$ each
- each column has exactly one $\frac{ \pm 1}{\sqrt{s}}$ per block in random location


## CountSketch [Charikar-Chen-FarachColton'02]



- Note: can map $x \mapsto \Pi x$ in time $O\left(s \cdot\|x\|_{0}\right)$.
- [Kane-N.'14] shows $m=O\left(\varepsilon^{-2} \log n\right), s=O(\varepsilon m)$ suffices. [N.-Nguyễn'13] shows for this $m$, such $s$ is almost necessary.
- See also [Bourgain-Dirksen-N. '15].


## Sparse JL transforms

$s=\#$ non-zero entries per column in embedding matrix (so embedding time is $s \cdot\|x\|_{0}$ )

| reference | value of $s$ | type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [JL84], [FM88], [IM98], $\ldots$ | $m \approx 4 \varepsilon^{-2} \log (1 / \delta)$ | dense |
| [Achlioptas01] | $m / 3$ | sparse <br> Bernoulli |
| [WDALS09] | no proof | hashing |
| $[$ DKS10] | $\tilde{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-1} \log ^{3}(1 / \delta)\right)$ | hashing |
| $[\mathrm{KN10a}]^{*},[\mathrm{BOR} 10]^{*}$ | $\tilde{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-1} \log ^{2}(1 / \delta)\right)$ | $"$ |
| $[\mathrm{KN14]}$ | $O\left(\varepsilon^{-1} \log (1 / \delta)\right)$ | CountSketch |

* see also recent improvements by [Dahlgaard-Knudsen-Thorup'17], [Freksen-Kamma-Larsen'18].
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Theorem (Jayram-Woodruff, 2011; Kane-Meka-N., 2011) For $D J L, m=\min \left\{d, \Theta\left(\varepsilon^{-2} \log (1 / \delta)\right)\right\}$ is optimal.
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(easy direction of "Yao's minimax principle")
Then show that if $\mathcal{F}$ is the uniform distribution on the sphere and $m<d / 2$, then the probability any fixed $\Pi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ fails to preserve $x$ is $\exp \left(-O\left(\varepsilon^{2} m+1\right)\right) \Longrightarrow m=\Omega\left(\varepsilon^{-2} \log (1 / \delta)\right)$ to succeed.
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## JL lower bound

Theorem ([Larsen, Nelson '17])
For any integers $d, n \geq 2$ and any $\frac{1}{(\min \{n, d\}\}^{0.4999}}<\varepsilon<1$, there exists a set $X \subset \ell_{2}^{d},|X|=n$, such that any embedding $f: X \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ with distortion at most $1+\varepsilon$ must have
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- Can always achieve $m=d: f$ is the identity map.
- Can always achieve $m=n-1$ : translate so one vector is 0 . Then all vectors live in $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace.
- So can only hope JL optimal for $\varepsilon^{-2} \log n \leq \min \{n, d\}$, can view theorem assumption as $\varepsilon^{-2} \log n \ll \min \{n, d\}^{0.999}$.
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- Net argument + probabilistic method. $m=\Omega\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \log n\right)$ (only against linear maps $f(x)=\Pi x$ ) [Larsen, Nelson '16]
- Encoding argument. $m=\Omega\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \log n\right)$ [Larsen, Nelson '17]


## Encoding argument. <br> [Larsen, Nelson '17]

## JL is optimal even against non-linear maps

We define a large collection $\mathcal{X}$ of $n$-sized sets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ s.t. if all $X \in \mathcal{X}$ can be embedded into dimension $\leq 10^{-10} \cdot \varepsilon^{-2} \log _{2} n$, then there is an encoding of elements of $\mathcal{X}$ into $<\log _{2}|\mathcal{X}|$ bits (i.e. an injection from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\{0,1\}^{t}$ for $\left.t<\log _{2}|\mathcal{X}|\right)$. Contradiction.
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Encoding procedure based on very simple metric entropy / convex geometry argument.

OPEN: later [Alon-Klartag'17] showed lower bound of $\Omega\left(\min \left\{n, d, \varepsilon^{-2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{2} n\right)\right\}\right)$ for full range of $\varepsilon$. Is there a matching upper bound for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 1 / \sqrt{n}$ ?
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- [Achlioptas'01]: $\Pi_{i, j}$ can be i.i.d. $\sigma_{i, j} / \sqrt{m}$ for $\sigma_{i, j}= \pm 1$.
- [Clarkson-Woodruff'09]: in fact the $\sigma_{i, j}$ only need be $O(\log (1 / \delta))$-wise independent. Combined with
[Carter-Wegman'79], this implies $\Pi$ can be stored using $O(\log (1 / \delta) \log (m d))=O(\log (1 / \delta) \log d)$ bits.
- [Kane-Meka-N.'11]: can construct $\Pi$ as a product of $O(\log \log (1 / \delta)+\log (1 / \varepsilon))$ matrices using growing amounts of independence and gradually decreasing number of rows
Total number of bits:
$O(\log d+\log (1 / \delta)(\log \log (1 / \delta)+\log (1 / \varepsilon)))$.
OPEN: $O(\log d+\log (1 / \delta))$ ?
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## Application-specific

$k$-means: given $k$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, find $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ minimizing

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min _{1 \leq j \leq k}\left\|x_{i}-y_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

$k$-means: given $k$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, find $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ minimizing

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min _{1 \leq j \leq k}\left\|x_{i}-y_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$
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Dimensionality reduction for optimization:

$$
\tilde{Q}_{\text {opt }}=\underset{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|(I-Q) A \Pi^{\top}\right\|_{F}^{2} .
$$

To optimize up to $1+\varepsilon$, suffices for sketching matrix $\Pi$ to only have $O\left(k / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ rows [Cohen-EIder-Musco-Musco-Persu'15] (see also [Cohen-N.Woodurff'16]).

Evidence " $k$ " may be $\log k$ : [CEMMP'15] shows $O(\log k)$ dimensions suffice for $O(1)$-approximation.
(just can't get down to $1+\varepsilon$ ).

## Instance-wise bounds
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- Gordon showed result for $\Pi$ having i.i.d. gaussian entries. But what about other $\Pi$ ?
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Using $\Pi$ other than i.i.d. gaussian entries:

- [Klartag-Mendelson'05], [Mendelson-Pajor-TomczakJaegermann'07], [Dirksen'16] i.i.d. subgaussian entries suffice (e.g. $\pm 1 / \sqrt{m}$ ).
- [Bourgain-Dirksen-N.'15] Sparse JL Transform works with a similar number of rows, with low sparsity, under technical conditions concerning the point set to be reduced. Qualitatively recovers all known results for applications of sparse JL to specific domains, like subspace embeddings (next slide) up to $\log d$ factors.
- [Oymak-Recht-Soltanokotabi'17] Fast JL Transform of Ailon-Chazelle works with similar number of rows, up to $\log d$ factors.
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## Sketching for large matrix problems

## Subspace embeddings [Sarlós'06]

Following works by Drineas, Kannan, Mahoney, Mutukrishnan,

- Subspace embedding: $\Pi$ is an $\varepsilon$-subspace embedding for a linear subspace $E$ if $\forall x \in E,\|\Pi x\|_{2}^{2}=(1 \pm \varepsilon)\|x\|_{2}^{2}$
- Given $A, B$ with many rows $n$, approximate $A^{\top} B$ by $(П A)^{\top} П B$
- Given $X, \beta$, approximate least squares:
$\tilde{\beta}^{L S}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta}\|\Pi X \beta-П y\|_{2}$
- Also applications to clustering [BZMD'11], [CEMMP'15], [CNW'16] PCA, and many other problems; see
[Halko-Martinsson-Trop'11], [Mahoney'11], [Woodruff'14]
What $\Pi$ to use?
E.g. regression want to compute $\Pi X$ quickly.
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## CountSketch [Charikar-Chen-FarachColton'02] (it's me again)



- Analyzed for approx. matrix mult, then regression and PCA, in [Kane-N.'12], [Clarkson-Woodruff'13], [Meng-Mahoney'13], [N.-Nguyễn'13], [BDN'15], [Cohen'16]
- For regression/PCA, $m=O\left(\operatorname{rank}(X) / \varepsilon^{2}\right), s=1$, or $m=\tilde{O}\left(\operatorname{rank}(X) / \varepsilon^{2}\right), s=\operatorname{poly} \log (\operatorname{rank}(X)) / \varepsilon$ suffice
$>$ Leads to algorithms with runtime $\approx$ the sparsity of $X$


## Open problems

- Instance-wise optimality for $\ell_{2}$ dimensionality reduction? What's the right $m$ in terms of $X$ itself? Bicriteria results?
- JL map that can be applied to $x$ in time $\tilde{O}\left(m+\|x\|_{0}\right)$ ?
$\|\cdot\|_{0}$ denotes support size
- Explicit DJL distribution with seed length $O\left(\log \frac{d}{\delta}\right)$ ?
- Rasmus Pagh: Las Vegas algorithm for computing a JL map for set of $n$ points faster than repeated random projections then checking?

