Fast Multi-level Optimisation Algorithms for Large Scale Machine Learning

Vahan Hovhannisyan, Panos Parpas, Stefanos Zafeiriou

SIAM Conference on Imaging Science 2016

Imperial College London

Overview

- > Motivation
- ➤ State of the Art
- MAGMA: A Multi-level Algorithm
- ➤ Theory and Experiments
- ➤ Discussion



Stack each image as a column vector



Stack each image as a column vector



Stack each image as a column vector







=b

Stack each image as a column vector

A new incoming image





Decompose **b** into **Dx** with *sparse* **x**

Stack each image as a column vector







 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\mathbf{I}}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$

Stack each image as a column vector







=b

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\mathbf{I}}{2} \| \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \| \mathbf{x} \|_{1}$

Face Recognition Stack each image as a column vector A new incoming image **=**b 0.9 0.8 0.7 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \|$ 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 -0. 50 150 200 0 100 250 300 350 400 450

Face Recognition Stack each image as a column vector A new incoming image **=** b $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \| \mathbf{x} \|_{1}$ LASSO 0.1 200 0 50 100 150 250 450



- \succ f is convex and smooth with L-Lipschitz continuous gradient
- \succ g is convex but not smooth; g is simple
- D is highly correlated data

> Minimize $F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})$, but $g(\mathbf{x})$ is not differentiable!

> Minimize $F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})$, but $g(\mathbf{x})$ is not differentiable!

> Instead repeatedly minimize a quadratic approximation of $F(\mathbf{x})$

> Minimize $F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})$, but $g(\mathbf{x})$ is not differentiable!

> Instead repeatedly minimize a quadratic approximation of $F(\mathbf{x})$ > Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \alpha) := f(\mathbf{y}) + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \nabla f(\mathbf{y}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2\alpha} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2 + g(\mathbf{x})$

> Minimize $F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})$, but $g(\mathbf{x})$ is not differentiable!

> Instead repeatedly minimize a quadratic approximation of $F(\mathbf{x})$ > Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \alpha) := f(\mathbf{y}) + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \nabla f(\mathbf{y}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2\alpha} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2 + g(\mathbf{x})$ > $p(\mathbf{y}; \alpha) := \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} \{ \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \alpha) \}$ is given in closed form

> Minimize $F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})$, but $g(\mathbf{x})$ is not differentiable!

➤ Instead repeatedly minimize a quadratic approximation of F(x)
> Let Q(x, y; α) := f(y) + ⟨x - y, ∇f(y)⟩ + 1/(2α) ||x - y||² + g(x)
> p(y; α) := argmin_x{Q(x, y; α)} is given in closed form

Repeatedly apply $\mathbf{x} = \mathrm{p}(\mathbf{x}; 1/L)$ until convergence

2 main approaches

➤ Full gradient methods

Algorithms

2 main approaches

➤ Full gradient methods

• Use all **D** and all x for each update

Algorithms

2 main approaches

2 main approaches

- Use all **D** and all x for each update
- Impractically slow when **D** is large

2 main approaches

- Use all **D** and all x for each update
- Impractically slow when **D** is large
- Coordinate gradient methods

2 main approaches

- Use all **D** and all x for each update
- Impractically slow when **D** is large
- Coordinate gradient methods
 - Use parts of *x* and *D* for each update

2 main approaches

- Use all **D** and all x for each update
- Impractically slow when **D** is large
- Coordinate gradient methods
 - Use parts of *x* and *D* for each update
 - Can be faster, but *not appropriate* when *D* has highly correlated columns

By exploiting the data structure we can make the problem smaller while using all the data.

1. Set *y* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *x*

- 1. Set *y* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *x*
- 2. Choose step-sizes and acceleration parameters t.

- 1. Set *y* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *x*
- 2. Choose step-sizes and acceleration parameters t.
- 3. Set *z* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *z*

- 1. Set *y* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *x*
- 2. Choose step-sizes and acceleration parameters t.
- 3. Set *z* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *z*
- 4. Set $z = t^*y + (1 t)^*z$

- 1. Set *y* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *x*
- 2. Choose step-sizes and acceleration parameters t.
- 3. Set *z* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *z*
- 4. Set $z = t^*y + (1 t)^*z$

Theorem. After *T* iterations of APG it holds that $F(\mathbf{y}) - F(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{T^2}\right)$

*Nesterov, Y. Smooth minimization of non-smooth functions. Mathematical programming, 2005.

- 1. Set *y* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *x*
- 2. Choose step-sizes and acceleration parameters t.
- 3. Set *z* to minimize quadratic approximation of *F* around *z*
- 4. Set $z = t^*y + (1 t)^*z$

Creating Coarse Models

Creating Coarse Models

1. Create a coarse model



Creating Coarse Models

- 1. Create a coarse model
- 2. Solve the coarse model


Creating Coarse Models

- 1. Create a coarse model
- 2. Solve the coarse model
- 3. Use the coarse model to iterate on the fine problem



Creating Coarse Models







 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes \frac{n}{2}}$ linearly combines elements to half the dimension



 $ightarrow {f R} \in {\mathbb R}^{n imes rac{n}{2}}$ linearly combines elements to half the dimension

 \succ Use $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R}^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n}{2} \times n}$ for prolongation



 $ightarrow \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes rac{n}{2}}$ linearly combines elements to half the dimension

 \succ Use $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R}^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n}{2} \times n}$ for prolongation

➤ Use multiple levels to achieve smaller coarse models

1. Assure that coarse and fine level problems are coherent $\nabla T = (\mathbf{D}_{1}) + \mathbf{D} T = (\mathbf{D}_{2})$

 $\nabla F_H(\mathbf{Rx}) = \mathbf{R}F_\mu(\mathbf{x})$



1. Assure that coarse and fine level problems are coherent

 $\nabla F_H(\mathbf{Rx}) = \mathbf{R}F_\mu(\mathbf{x})$

Is achieved by adding a linear *v*-term

$$F_H(\mathbf{x}) = f_H(\mathbf{x}) + g_H(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$

1. Assure that coarse and fine level problems are coherent

 $\nabla F_H(\mathbf{Rx}) = \mathbf{R}F_\mu(\mathbf{x})$

Is achieved by adding a linear v-term

$$F_H(\mathbf{x}) = f_H(\mathbf{x}) + g_H(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$

where v is defined as

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{R} \nabla F_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) - (\nabla f_{H}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}) + \nabla g_{H}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}))$$

2. Construct a descent search direction from the coarse solution

$$d(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{R}^{\top} (\mathbf{x}_H^{\star} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x})$$

2. Construct a descent search direction from the coarse solution

$$d(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{R}^\top (\mathbf{x}_H^\star - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x})$$
 Sol of coarse model

2. Construct a descent search direction from the coarse solution $d(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{R}^\top(\mathbf{x}_H^\star - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x})$

Lemma. For any **x** it holds that $\langle d(\mathbf{x}), \nabla F_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle < -\rho \| \nabla F_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \|^{2} \leq 0$

2. Construct a descent search direction from the coarse solution $d(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{R}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_H^{\star} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x})$

Lemma. For any **x** it holds that $\langle d(\mathbf{x}), \nabla F_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle < -\rho \|\nabla F_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})\|^{2} \leq 0$

3. Use Armijo backtracking line search to find step-size s such that

$$F_{\mu}(\mathbf{y}) \leq F_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) + c\mathbf{s}\langle d(\mathbf{x}), \nabla F_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$$

1. If conditions for coarse correction hold

1. If conditions for coarse correction hold choose a smoothing parameter

 If conditions for coarse correction hold choose a smoothing parameter set *y* by a coarse correction step on *x*

1. If conditions for coarse correction hold

choose a smoothing parameter set *y* by a coarse correction step on *x*

Otherwise

1. If conditions for coarse correction hold

choose a smoothing parameter set *y* by a coarse correction step on *x*

Otherwise

set y by a proximal gradient step on x

1. If conditions for coarse correction hold

choose a smoothing parameter set *y* by a coarse correction step on *x*

Otherwise

set y by a proximal gradient step on x

2. Set *z* by a proximal gradient step on *z*

1. If conditions for coarse correction hold

choose a smoothing parameter set *y* by a coarse correction step on *x*

Otherwise

set y by a proximal gradient step on x

- 2. Set z by a proximal gradient step on z
- 3. Update $x = t^*y + (1 t)^*z$

Convergence Rate

Theorem. After *T* iterations of MAGMA it holds that $F(\mathbf{y}) - F(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{T^2}\right)$

- > Optimal convergence rate
- > Much faster for the face recognition problem

*Hovhannisyan V, Parpas P, Zafeiriou S. MAGMA: Multi-level accelerated gradient mirror descent algorithm for large-scale convex composite minimization. Under revision at SIAM journal on imaging sciences.

Comparing against FISTA* and APCG**.

Comparing against FISTA* and APCG**.

Dataset of images of 200x200 resolution.

Comparing against FISTA* and APCG**.

Dataset of images of 200x200 resolution.

> 440 images in the dictionary



Time until convergence

Comparing against FISTA* and APCG**.

Dataset of images of 200x200 resolution.

- > 440 images in the dictionary
- > 944 images in the dictionary



Time until convergence

Comparing against FISTA* and APCG**.

Dataset of images of 200x200 resolution.

- > 440 images in the dictionary
- > 944 images in the dictionary
- > 8824 images in the dictionary



Time until convergence

Compared against several methods, reporting only FISTA*.

Dataset of images of 200x200 resolution.

440 images in the dictionary

CPU time of HISTA CPU time of MAGMA CPU time of MAGMA 1 5 9 13 17 20 Experiment

Relative CPU times for experiments with 20 different input images.

Compared against several methods, reporting only FISTA*.

Dataset of images of 200x200 resolution.

➤ 440 images in the dictionary

Compared against several methods, reporting only FISTA*.

Dataset of images of 200x200 resolution.

- ➤ 440 images in the dictionary
- ➤ 944 images in the dictionary





Relative CPU times for experiments with 20 different input images.

Compared against several methods, reporting only FISTA*.

Dataset of images of 200x200 resolution.

- ➤ 440 images in the dictionary
- > 944 images in the dictionary
- ➤ 8824 images in the dictionary

8824 images in the dictionary



Relative CPU times for experiments with 20 different input images.

Conclusion

> MAGMA: accelerated multi-level proximal algorithm

Conclusion

- > MAGMA: accelerated multi-level proximal algorithm
- > Excellent numerical results for face recognition

Conclusion

- > MAGMA: accelerated multi-level proximal algorithm
- Excellent numerical results for face recognition
- ➤ Next:
 - Reduce to one proximal step per iteration
Conclusion

- > MAGMA: accelerated multi-level proximal algorithm
- Excellent numerical results for face recognition
- ➤ Next:
 - Reduce to one proximal step per iteration
 - Test on other problems, e.g. robust PCA and face alignment

Conclusion

- MAGMA: accelerated multi-level proximal algorithm
- Excellent numerical results for face recognition
- ➤ Next:
 - Reduce to one proximal step per iteration
 - Test on other problems, e.g. robust PCA and face alignment
 - Combine with stochastic updates