



中国科学技术大学
University of Science and Technology of China

Exploring the Impact of Dynamic Mutual Influence on Social Event Participation

Tong Xu¹, Hao Zhong², Hengshu Zhu³, Hui Xiong²

Enhong Chen¹, Guannan Liu⁴

¹ University of Science and Technology of China,

² Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

³ Baidu Research, Big Data Lab


⁴ Tsinghua University

University of Science and Technology of China



Background

- Offline social events emerge, which connect cyber and physical social network.
- New challenges raise to organize events and predict attendance.






Motivation 1 – Social Factors

- Social factors affect decision-making process of social event participation.
 - People rely on familiars when RSVPs occur, which results in correlation of offline activities.
 - Correspondingly, active members in social group tend to have stronger connections than average.

Table 1: Comparison for social factors in event series.

	Average for All Events		First Attendance	
	Density	Ave. Weight	Degree	Ave. Weight
Active	0.7849	0.2343	0.1249	0.0109
Overall	0.4694	0.1305	0.0498	0.0062
P-Value	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.004



- Significant distinction between attenders and absentees.




Prior Arts

- Traditional techniques tend to introduce social factors as **features** or **constraints**.
 - Basic Assumption: Social connections usually indicate similar preference, then intuitively similar decisions on attendance.
- However, they may fail to simulate the novel factors of *event-based social network*.
 - Potential attenders are always changing, leading to various influence.
 - ***Connections may not directly affect preference.***



Motivation 2 – Dynamic Influence

- **D**ynamic **S**ocial **I**nfluence (DSI) may exist within decision-making process.
 - **Domino Effects:**
One change leads to chain reactions.
 - **Dynamic Equilibrium:**
Final agreement achieved when all influence are stable.





DSI – Problem Statement

- Basic Assumption
 - Social factors may not indirectly affect preference.
 - Instead, they directly influence the decisions. To be specific, they influence the **threshold** of discrimination.

$$\mathcal{I}(f_{i,k} - h_{i,k})$$

- Tendency
 - User Preference
 - Event attributes
- Threshold
 - Social Influence
 - General Enthusiasm



DSI – Formulation of Influence


- Social influence are determined by two factors
 - Connection strength.
 - Their own attitude.

$$h(u_i, e_k) = h_{i,0} \cdot \prod_{j \in N_i} [1 - \mathcal{I}(f_{j,k} - h_{j,k}) \cdot w_{ji}]$$

- Enthusiasm, lower means active to participate events
- Friends' opinions
- Connection Strength



DSI – Two-stage Framework





DSI – Two-stage Framework

- Global Target: To achieve stable decisions.
- **Training Stage:** User profiling with personal preference, enthusiasm and social connections.
 - Minimizing the discriminant error.

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{p}, h_0, w} \sum_{u_i \in U} \sum_{e_k \in E} [s_{i,k}^0 - \mathcal{I}(f_{i,k} - h_{i,k})]^2$$

- **Test Stage:** Participation analysis on given social event and target user group.
 - Updating $\mathcal{I}(f_{i,k} - h_{i,k})$ for each user.



Technical Solution

- Difficult to directly optimize the loss function due to mutual dependence within attenders.
- To ease the optimization task, we propose a step-by-step iterative approach.

$$F^t(U, E) = \sum_{u_i \in U} \sum_{e_k \in E} [s_{i,k}^0 - \mathcal{I}(f_{i,k}^t - h_{i,k}^t)]^2$$

- To be specific, opinions achieved in round $t-1$ will only influence their friends in round t .

$$h_{i,k}^t = h_{i,0}^t \cdot \prod_{j \in N_i} [1 - \mathcal{I}(f_{j,k}^{t-1} - h_{j,k}^{t-1})] \cdot w_{ji}^t$$



Algorithm 1 Iterative Solution for Training Stage.

Input: target user group $\mathbf{U} = \{u_i\}$, event set $\mathbf{E} = \{e_k\}$ and attendance records $\{s_{i,k}^0\}$;

Store: event attributes \mathbf{a}_k for each $e_k \in \mathbf{E}$;

Output: users' profile $\langle \mathbf{p}_i, h_{i,0} \rangle$ and social strength w_{ij}

- 1: Iteration = *True*;
 - 2: **while** (Iteration)
 - 3: Iteration = *False*;
 - 4: **for** $u_i \in \mathbf{U}, e_k \in \mathbf{E}$
 - 5: update $\langle \mathbf{p}_i, h_{i,0} \rangle$ and $\{w_{ij}\}$ until convergency;
 - 6: update $f_{i,k}, h_{i,k}$ based on Equation 3.1;
 - 7: update $s_{i,k}$ as $\mathcal{I}(f_{i,k} - h_{i,k})$;
 - 8: **if** $s_{i,k}$ changed **then** Iteration = *True*;
 - 9: **end if**
 - 10: **end for**
 - 11: **end while**
 - 12: **return** $\{\langle \mathbf{p}_i, h_{i,0} \rangle\}, \{w_{ij}\}$;
-



Experimental Results

- To verify the effectiveness, we perform extensive experiments on real-world data set extracted from official API of *Meetup.com*.
- 422 user groups, 9,605 social events and 24,107 related users are analyzed in total.
- Several state-of-the-art techniques are compared as baselines, including social-constraint PMF and topic-sensitive social spread simulation model.






Experiments – Overall Results

Table 4: Overall performance of each approach.

	DSI	SoRec	GcPMF	PSS
Precision (%)	75.88	60.23	47.47	46.15
Improvement (%)	-	+25.98	+59.85	+64.42
Variance	0.022	0.102	0.134	0.059
P-Value	-	0.000	0.000	0.000
Recall (%)	75.34	75.21	21.73	41.82
Improvement (%)	-	+0.17	+246.71	+80.16
Variance	0.030	0.112	0.234	0.180
P-Value	-	0.063	0.000	0.000



- Significant margin occur compared with baselines, which validates the potential of dynamic social influence in analyzing social event participation.



Discussion – Interesting Rules

Group	A	B	C	D
Precision	96.15%	94.64%	48.20%	47.01%
Members	129	160	1088	273
Ave. Freshmen	20%	50%	35%	35%
Negative Edges	< 1%	< 1%	7%	4%

- To attend more events, you must be more social.
- Stable core leads to tight connection, while less attractive to freshmen, and vice versa.
- Negative connections results in difficult agreement, then worse predictions.



Conclusion

- Social connections may not only affect the user preferences, but also directly affect the decision-making process of event participation.
- Effects of social hubs should be highlighted during the event organization. They should be the first to be satisfied.
- It will be interesting to integrate the DSI framework with more types of social constraint, or some other motivation of attendance.





Thanks!

tongxu@mail.ustc.edu.cn