Mithi Ahmed-Richardsa, Trishna Bharadia, Lisa M. DeTora, Laura Dormer, Eline Hanekamp, Adeline Rosenberga, Dikran Toroser

^aPatient Author; ^bCurrent Medical Research & Opinion, Taylor & Francis Group, London, UK; ^cPatient Advocate, Scleroderma and Raynauds UK, London, UK; ^dThe Spark Global, Marlow, UK; Centre for Pharmaceutical Medicine Research, King's College London, UK; Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY, USA; Becaris Publishing Ltd, Chesham, UK; ^hExcerpta Medica BV, Amstelveen, Noord Holland, Netherlands; ⁱOxford PharmaGenesis, Oxford, UK; ⁱUniversity of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

This work represents the opinions of the authors and not necessarily that of their affiliations.



BACKGROUND

Good Publication Practice (GPP), including GPP 2022¹, allows remuneration for stakeholders including patients for peer-reviewed publication activities. Companies currently have varied approaches and need clearer guidance on patient remuneration for publication activities, including authorship



COMMITTEE DISCUSSIONS

GPP Steering Committee members recruited experts on patient participation (MAR, TB, and AR) to develop recommendations for remuneration by reviewing existing guidance and engaging in open discussion and intellectual exchange



Transparency, conflicts of interest, and undue influence

Historical ethical challenges, such as paid guest authorship should not overshadow or hinder the fair inclusion of patient authors in the present publication landscape



Patients declining remuneration

Patients receiving various government benefits may not be able to accept remuneration, due to earning thresholds



Selection bias arising from not remunerating

Not providing payment can limit patient participation and create selection bias, affecting the quality of the publications. Diversity of input is reduced if only some patients can afford to participate

External support for remuneration

- GPP 2022¹ specifically mentions remunerating patients
- ABPI⁴ and EFPIA⁵ support remuneration for patient collaborations with pharma

Parity with other authors (i.e. clinical, academic, industry authors)

- Equity for patient authors means fairly considering their situations and needs
- Patient participation is often an exhibition of beneficence outside of the context of a paid or salaried role
- Patient participation provides expertise, enriches literature, and forwards medical endeavor, all of which should be recognized and valued

Patient involvement in publications is underpinned by the principles of ethics and compliance, both of which require equity and recognition of value





COROLES FOR PATIENTS

Patients are increasingly participating throughout the publication development lifecycle. Roles for patients also exist outside of the individual publication lifecycle, for example in evaluation, training, and thought leadership^{2,3}

Poster #9



SOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Patients should be offered remuneration at fair market value rates for time spent on publication-related activities, including authorship
- Fair market value rate remuneration or alternatives to this should be detailed in (an addendum to) a contract that specifies the scope of responsibilities

The authors are grateful to Simon Stones of Amica Scientific and Leslie Citrome of New York Medical College for their valuable input on discussions that informed this work. The authors are also grateful to Cootje Mombers of Excerpta Medica BV for her graphic design assistance.

- Patients should be able to opt out of payments (or opt for an alternative, like charitable donations)
- Declarations should be made in line with industry best practices for transparency

patient authorship and is an employee of Oxford PharmaGenesis, however this work is independent of these affiliations. DT has no disclosures to declare.

- Patients receiving remuneration for publication activities should be assured, in writing, that they can express their opinions openly, or be provided with a patient advocate or other advisor who can communicate with company representatives in their name to prevent undue pressure on the patient participant
- Local country laws and regulations should be respected
- Companies should develop internal standard operating procedures to ensure compliance and consistency with their policies and with ethical guidance

ONEXT STEPS

We believe that remuneration, at fair market rate, is warranted for patients asked to serve in any capacity to support publication activities, including as authors. Further practical guidance to implement best practices will be included in a forthcoming peer-reviewed publication

advisory board

members

175(9):1298-1304. 2. Woolley K et al. Learned Publ. 2024;37(3):e1607. 3. Bharadia T. 2025. Lecture delivered at the Centre for Pharmaceutical Industry. 2024. https://www.abpi.org.uk/publications/code-of-practice: Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry (ABPI) Code of Practice: Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry. 2024. https://www.abpi.org.uk/publications/code-of-practice: EFPIA) Code of Practice: EFPIA) Code of Practice: EFPIA) Code of Practice: Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry. 2024. https://www.abpi.org.uk/publications/code-of-practice: EFPIA) Code of Practice: Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry. 2024. https://www.abpi.org.uk/publications/code-of-practice: EFPIA) Code of Practice: EFPIA) Code of Practice: Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry. 2024. https://www.abpi.org.uk/publications/code-of-practice: Association of the Britis and acknowledgements: MAR is an employee of Taylor & Francis group, however this work is independent of her employment. She is also a paid patient consultancy and advocacy for various stakeholders in the life sciences and healthcare industries, including pharmaceutical communications agencies, medical publishers, academia, and patient organizations. She is a visiting lecturer at the Centre for Pharmaceutical Medicine Researce King's College London. She serves on the editorial boards of The Research Post and Neurology and Therapeutic Advisory Panel for Taylor & Francis, Sage Publishing and Becaris, for which she receives honoraria for plain language summary reviews. She is also a member of the ISMPP EU 2025 planning committee, as well as the Good Publication Practice Steering Committee. LDT undertakes paid and unpaid consultancy and advocacy for various stakeholders in professional societies, publishers, and academia. LD is a co-owner of Becaris Publishing Ltd. She undertakes paid and unpaid consultancy for stakeholders in the healthcare industry, (including pharmaceutical communication agencies) and the academic publishing industry. She receives an honorarium as a journal Editor-in-Chief. EH is an employee of Excerpta Medica, however this work is independent of employment. AR receives departmental funding from the Centre for Pharmaceutical Medicine Research, King's College London, for research on