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## Data Driven Discoveries



- Large scientific/internet/experimental datasets are censored, measured, collected, computed
- Data driven analysis
- Information of $m$ objects with $n$ features
- Correlations between all pairs of $m$ objects
- Connectivity between all pairs of $m$ nodes in a network
iSVD
integrated singular value decomposition


## Leading-k SVD

## R1~~R

$\boldsymbol{U}_{k}$ is an $m \times k$ orthonormal matrix that $k<m, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}$ is a $k \times k$ diagonal matrix, and $\boldsymbol{V}_{k}$ is an $n \times k$ orthonormal matrix. The columns of $\boldsymbol{U}_{k}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}_{k}$ are the leading left singular vectors and right singular vectors of $\boldsymbol{A}$, respectively. The diagonal entries of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}$ are the $k$ largest singular values of $\boldsymbol{A}$.

## - Well-studied

numerical linear algebra, applied mathematics, statistics, computer sciences, data analytics, physical sciences, and engineering,...

- Many applications
imaging, medicine, social networks, signal processing, machine learning, information compression, principal component analysis, finance,...


## Random Sketches

- In many cases, one random sketch for a subspace is sufficient*
- Our idea
- multiple sketches and then integrate the multiple subspaces
- higher accuracy and higher stability
- suitable for parallel computers and big matrices


## Integrated SVD (iSVD)

- Multiple random sketching

$$
\boldsymbol{Y}_{[i]} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{[i]}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

- Orthonormal basis of each sketched subspace

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]} \leftarrow \operatorname{Orth}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{[i]}\right) \quad \leftarrow \operatorname{Orth}(\|)
$$

- Integration of the basis matrices

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} \leftarrow\left\{\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}
$$

- Post-processing: SVD on the QQ $^{\top}$-projected subspace

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{A}\right)=\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{W}}_{\ell} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\ell} \widehat{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\ell}^{\top}\right)=\widehat{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\ell} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\ell} \widehat{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\ell}^{\top}, \quad \ell=k+p
$$

rSVD

iSVD


Integration


## Sketching

## Gaussian Projection



Column Sampling

## Orthogonalization

Canonical
Gramian
Tall-Skinny QR

Integration

| Kolmogorov-Nagumo | Wen-Yin | Multilevel Pairwise |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Post-processing
Canonical
Gramian $\square$
$\square$
Tall-Skinny QR
Symmetric

## 1000 Genomes Project Phase 1

- 1,092 $\times 36,781,560$ matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$
- iSVD
- Column-block Gaussian projection sketching (CPU/GPU)
- Row-block Gramian orthogonalization
- Row-block Wen-Yin integration
- Column-block Gramian former


## 1000 Genomes Project $(1,092 \times 36,781,560)$



Integration

## Integrated SVD (iSVD)

- Multiple random sketching

$$
\boldsymbol{Y}_{[i]} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{[i]}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$



- Orthonormal basis of each sketched subspace

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]} \leftarrow \operatorname{Orth}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{[i]}\right) \quad \mid \leftarrow \operatorname{Orth}(\|)
$$

- Integration of the basis matrices

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} \leftarrow\left\{\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}
$$

- Post-processing: SVD on the QQT-projected subspace

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{A}\right)=\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{W}}_{\ell} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\ell} \widehat{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\ell}^{\top}\right)=\widehat{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\ell} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\ell} \widehat{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\ell}^{\top}, \quad \ell=k+p
$$

# Variations of iSVD 

## Sketohing

## Gaussian Projection Column Sampling



## Post-processing

## Target Optimization Problem

## Optimal Representation

- Best representation of the projections

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathcal{S}_{m, \ell}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]} \boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]}^{\top}-\boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\top}}\right\|_{F}^{2}
$$

- Invariant of rotations: $\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]} \boldsymbol{R}_{\theta}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]} \boldsymbol{R}_{\theta}\right)^{\boldsymbol{\top}}=\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]} \boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]}^{\top}$
- l2-discrepancy
- Stiefel Manifold

$$
\mathcal{S}_{m, \ell}=\left\{\boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \ell}: \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}=\boldsymbol{I} \text { and } m \geq \ell\right\}
$$

## Integration Methods

- Canonical SVD (The SVD routine in MATLAB or LAPACK...)
- Statistical average by Kolmogorov-Nagumo average on Stiefel Manifold*
- Optimization by line search method proposed by Wen and Yin+
- Multi-level pairwise integration
*Fiori, Simone, Tetsuya Kaneko, and Toshihisa Tanaka. "Mixed maps for learning a Kolmogorov-Nagumo-type average element on the compact Stiefel manifold." Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2014 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2014.
*Kaneko, Tetsuya, Simone Fiori, and Toshihisa Tanaka. "Empirical arithmetic averaging over the compact Stiefel manifold." IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing 61.4 (2013): 883-894.
+ Wen, Zaiwen, and Wotao Yin. "A feasible method for optimization with orthogonality constraints." Mathematical Programming (2013): 1-38.



# Kolmogorov-Nagumo Average 

A Statistical View

## One Step Moving in KN Average



## One Step Moving in KN Average



## Wen-Yin Optimization

An Optimization View



# Multilevel Pairwise Integration 

A Fast and Parallel Approach



## Integrated Subspace of Two Sketched Subspaces ${ }^{26}$

- The integrated subspace of a pair of sketched subspaces $(\mathrm{N}=2)$ is

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}=\underset{\boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathcal{S}_{m, \ell}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|\boldsymbol{Q}_{[1]} \boldsymbol{Q}_{[1]}^{\top}-\boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top}\right\|_{F}^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{Q}_{[2]} \boldsymbol{Q}_{[2]}^{\top}-\boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top}\right\|_{F}^{2}
$$

- The optimal solution of the above optimization problem is
- the leading $\ell$ eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{[1]} \boldsymbol{Q}_{[1]}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{[2]} \boldsymbol{Q}_{[2]}^{\top}$
- or equivalently, the leading $\ell$ singular vectors of $\left[Q_{[1]} \mid \boldsymbol{Q}_{[2]}\right]$


## Integration by A Fast Pairwise Sketched Subspace Average ${ }^{27}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } M=\left[Q_{1} \mid Q_{2}\right]=L \Sigma R^{T} \approx L_{\ell} \Sigma_{\ell} \boldsymbol{R}_{\ell}^{T} \text { and } Q_{1}^{T} Q_{2}=U S V^{T} \text {. We have } \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{M}^{\top} \boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{I}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{Q}_{1}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}_{2} \\
\boldsymbol{Q}_{2}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}_{1} & \boldsymbol{I}_{\ell}
\end{array}\right] \\
&=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{I}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{V}^{\top} \\
\boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} & \boldsymbol{I}_{\ell}
\end{array}\right] \\
&=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{U} & \boldsymbol{U} \\
\boldsymbol{V} & -\boldsymbol{V}
\end{array}\right]\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{S} & \\
& \boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{S}
\end{array}\right]\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{U} & \boldsymbol{U} \\
\boldsymbol{V} & -\boldsymbol{V}
\end{array}\right]\right)^{\top} \\
& M^{T} \boldsymbol{M}=R \Sigma^{2} R^{T}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell}^{2}=\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\ell}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{U} \\
\boldsymbol{V}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \boldsymbol{L}_{\ell}=\boldsymbol{M} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{U} \\
\boldsymbol{V}
\end{array}\right](\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{S})^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{1} \boldsymbol{U}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{2} \boldsymbol{V}\right)(2(\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{S}))^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$



## Algorithm and Complexity

Algorithm 2-2 Hierarchical Reduction
Require: The orthogonal matrices to be integrated $\boldsymbol{Q}_{[1]}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{[2]}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{Q}_{[N]}$.
Ensure: The average $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}$.
1: Set $n=N$.
2: while $n>1$ do Loop for levels
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 3: } & \text { Set } m=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \\ \text { 4: } & \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, m \text { do } \quad \text { Loop for pairs }\end{array}$
5: $\quad$ Find SVD of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{[2 i-1]}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}_{[2 i]}$ as $\boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{V}^{\top}$. $\quad O\left(\ell^{3}\right)$
6:

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}_{[i]} \leftarrow\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{[2 i-1]} \boldsymbol{U}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{[2 i]} \boldsymbol{V}\right)(2(\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{S}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} . O\left(m \ell^{2}\right)
$$

end for
8: $\quad n \leftarrow\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$
9: end while
10: $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}=\boldsymbol{Q}_{[1]}$.
Total: $O\left(N m \ell^{2}+N \ell^{3}\right)$

## Comparison of Integration Methods

| Complexity | $O\left(N^{2} m \ell^{2}\right)$ | $O\left(N m \ell^{2} \#\right.$ Iter $)$ | $O\left(N m \ell^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contal SVD | KN Average <br> WL Optimization | Multilevel Pairwise <br> Integration |  |
| Theoretical Accuracy |  |  |  |
| of Result | Exactly the integrated <br> subspaces defined <br> previously. | Close to integrated <br> subspace for few <br> interaction steps. <br> Exactly the integrated <br> subspace while <br> converged. | Approximation of <br> integrated subspace. |
|  |  |  |  |

Numerical Experiments

## Setting and Environment

- The desired rank in all tests is $k=10$.
- The oversampling number is $p=12$.
- The test codes are implemented in MATLAB without optimization on speed.
- The tests are done in different machine due to the issue of memory size.
- All the timing tests are done in MacBook Pro (Mid. 2014). (Processor: 2.6 GHz Intel Core i5. 2 cores. 4 threads. Memory: 8 GB 1600 MHz DDR3)


## Test Matrices

- The test matrices in the following tests are generated by

$$
\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{H}_{m} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{H}_{n}^{\top}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{H}_{m}, \boldsymbol{H}_{n}$ denote the Hadamard matrix with size $m=2^{d}, n=2^{d+1}$. The diagonal matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is given by different entries in different test matrices for $k=10$.

$$
A_{H}\left(10^{-1}\right): \sigma_{i, i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(10^{-1}\right)^{\frac{i-1}{k}} & \text { if } i \leq k \\
\frac{10^{-1}(m-i)}{m-k-1} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad A_{H}\left(10^{-3}\right): \sigma_{i, i}= \begin{cases}\left(10^{-3}\right)^{\frac{i-1}{k}} & \text { if } i \leq k \\
\frac{10^{-3}(m-i)}{m-k-1} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

- Some matrices from SuiteSparse matrix collection


## Error Measurement

- Singular vector similarity
- Inner product of each columns between $Q_{\text {test }}$ and $Q_{\text {true }}$
- The angle of each singular vectors
- The values are close to 1 if the approximation is good
- Canonical angles
- Singular values of the matrix $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\text {test }}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}_{\text {true }}$
- Distance of two subspaces
- The values are close to 1 if the approximation is good


## Comparison of Different N

- Repeat each case for 30 times and plot in box plot.

Size of A: $524288 \times 1048576$


$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(10^{-1}\right)
$$

Size of A: $524288 \times 1048576$


## Singular Values of Test Matrices

- The larger the difference between each singular values, the easier to capture the leading singular vectors by Gaussian projection.




## SuiteSparse Test Matrix: Mittelmann_fome13



Similarities


First 27 singular values of test matrix

## SuiteSparse Test Matrix: Mittelmann_fome13



Canonical Angles


First 27 singular values of test matrix

## SuiteSparse Test Matrix: ANSYS_Delor338K




First 27 singular values of test matrix

## SuiteSparse Test Matrix: Barbasi_NotreDame_actors ${ }^{40}$




First 27 singular values of test matrix

## SuiteSparse Test Matrix: JGD_GL7d_GL7d22




First 27 singular values of test matrix

## Timing Results

- Each points represent a test case with $N=1,4,16,32,64,128,256$




## Summary

- Multiple random sketches based SVD
- Multilevel pairwise integration is a fast approximate method in iSVD
- Can be easily paralleled
- Can be used as an initial guess for KN average or WL optimization


# Thank <br> you. 

Questions/comments/collaborations are welcome!

