Optimization: The Difference Between Theory and Practice ...



Juan Meza

Senior Scientist and Department Head High Performance Computing Research Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

SIAM Annual Meeting

Denver, CO

July 6-10, 2009

In theory there is no difference between theory and practice.

In practice there is.

Yogi Berra



COMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH DIVISION

Optimization Status

- Optimization has become increasingly widespread as computational science has expanded.
- Variety of forms/applications including inverse problems, parameter estimation, energy minimization, etc.
- Wide range of problems with diverse characteristics, including nonlinear, nonconvex, mixed integer, bi-level, stochastic, global, etc.
- Most problems exceedingly difficult to analyze theoretically, yet solutions must be obtained.



Challenges in simulation-based optimization

- Objective function is smooth
 - Often true, but simulations can create noisy behavior
- Twice continuously differentiable
 - Difficult to prove
- Constraints
 - Users can sometimes over-specify or incorrectly guess constraints
 - Require strict feasibility
- Computationally expensive objective functions
 - Dominant cost is evaluation of objective function



Chemical Vapor Deposition Control





COMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH DIVISION

The design of a small-batch fast-ramp LPCVD furnace



- Temperature uniformity across the wafer stack is critical
- Independently controlled heater zones regulate temperature
- Wafers are radiatively heated
- Design parameters:
 - Number of heater zones
 - Size/position of heater zones
 - Wafer pitch
 - Pedestal configuration
 - Insulation thickness
 - Baseplate cooling



Standard least squares problem formulation

$$\min f(p) = \sum_{i} (T_i(p; x) - T_{target})^2$$

- Finding temperatures involves solving a heat transfer problem with radiation
- Adjusting tolerances in the PDE solution trades off noise with CPU time
- Larger tolerances lead to
 - Less accurate PDE solutions
 - Less time per function evaluation



In Theory You Have Derivatives ...

But in practice you don't

- Lack of derivatives reduces the choice of optimization methods one can readily use.
 - Need to consider alternative methods
- Accurately computing derivatives much harder than one might think at first glance



Optimized power distribution enhances wafer temperature uniformity for steady-state

Target Temp=1027 C 1050 1025 (O_{0}) 1000 **Temperature** 975 **950 Uniform Power** 925 **Partial Optimization · Optimized Power** 900 5 10 15 20 25 30 Vertical Position from Bottom Wafer (in)

Simulation of Equipment Design Optimization in Microelectronics Manufacturing, J.C. Meza, C.H. Tong, C.D. Moen, Proc. 30th Annual Simulation Symposium, Atlanta, GA, April 7-9, 1997.



COMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH DIVISION

Wafer Temperatures for Optimal Powers Obtained by TRPDS



OMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH DIVISION

Supernova Spectra



Supernova (SN) cosmology is the most powerful and best proven technique to date for probing the dark energy

- Most SN reach maximum light a few weeks after outburst
- Light curves evolve on day to week time scales
- Fade away over months

to years.



Spectra



Introduction to Spectrum Synthesis

- Spectrum synthesis is the computation of a theoretical spectrum of a model atmosphere based upon the known laws of physics.
- The goals of spectrum synthesis for supernovae are three-fold:
 - Calculate the spectra of detailed explosion models, compare with observations and falsify or validate the models
 - Calculate various "toy models" in order to predict or explain a set of observations
 - Parameterize a model atmosphere and calculate the subsequent spectra, compare these directly to observation

Courtesy of Peter Nugent, LBNL

Problem is a least squares problem

- A simulation code produces synthetic spectra based on inputs that describe the elements that are present in the model atmosphere
- Goal is to find the correct set of elements that best fit the synthetic spectra to the observed data
- Must have an solution within 24 hours
- No analytic derivatives available



In Theory The Functions are Inexpensive ...

- But in practice the objective functions can dominate the total cost of the solution
- May not matter how expensive the optimization algorithm is as long as you can minimize the total number of function calls
- Sometimes convergence is dictated by how big your computer budget is and/or time constraints



Real-Time Assessment of Data for SN Factory





Computational Nanoscience





Photovoltaic Solar Cells





- Solar cells based on inorganic nanorods and semiconducting polymers
- Nanorods can be made of CdSe, a semiconducting material
- Nanorods act like wires, absorbing light and generating hole-electron pairs
- Biggest challenge is cost, ~30 cents/kWh





Advances in **density functional theory** coupled with multinode computational clusters now enable accurate simulation of the behavior of multi-thousand atom complexes that mediate the electronic and ionic transfer of solar energy conversion. These new and emerging nanoscience capabilities bring a fundamental understanding of the atomic and molecular processes of solar energy utilization within reach.

Basic Research Needs for Solar Energy Utilization, Report of the BES Workshop on Solar Energy Utilization, April 18-21, 2005



Many-body Schrödinger equation

$$H\Psi_i(r_1, r_2, ..., r_N) = E_i\Psi(r_1, r_2, ..., r_N)$$

$$H = -\frac{h}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v(r_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{|r_i - r_j|}$$

- Ψ_i contains all the information needed to study a system
- $|\Psi_i|^2$ probability density of finding electrons at a certain state
- E_i quantized energy
- Computational work goes as 10^{3N} , where N is the number of electrons



Density Functional Theory and the Kohn-Sham equations

$$E_{total}[\{\psi_i\}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_e} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_i|^2 + \int_{\Omega} V_{ext} \rho \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho(r)\rho(r')}{|r-r'|} dr dr' + E_{xc}(\rho),$$

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{n_e} |\psi_i(r)|^2, \ \int_{\Omega} \psi_i \psi_j = \delta_{i,j}$$

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V_{ext}(r) + \int \frac{\rho}{|r-r'|} + V_{xc}(\rho)\right]\psi_i = \epsilon_i\psi_i$$



Kohn-Sham Equations

• Goal is to find the ground state energy by minimizing total energy, E_{total}

Leads to nonlinear eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{aligned} H\psi_i &= \epsilon_i\psi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n_e \\ H &= \left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V_{ion}(r) + \int \frac{\rho}{|r - r'|} + V_{xc}(\rho) \right] \\ \rho &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_e} |\psi_i(r)|^2, \int_{\Omega} \psi_i\psi_j = \delta_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

Solving the Kohn-Sham equations

Self-Consistent Field (SCF) iteration

- view as a (large) linear eigenvalue problem
- usually used with other acceleration techniques to improve (ensure) convergence
- convergence theory sparse
- Direct Constrained Minimization
 - minimize the total energy directly
 - also requires globalization techniques
 - convergence theory still difficult, but can use existing frameworks



Basic SCF Iteration



- Most of the work is in solving the sequence of linear eigenvalue problems
- Orthogonality constraint for the wavefunctions must be enforced explicitly
- May converge slowly and sometimes doesn't converge at all



In theory ...

- Derivatives are available!
- Orthogonalization constraints imply that we need to find not just one solution but an invariant subspace
- Working with large-scale problems again reduces the number of options available.



Improving SCF

- Construct better surrogate cannot afford to use local quadratic approximations (Hessian too expensive)
- Charge mixing to improve convergence (heuristic)
- Use trust region to restrict the update to stay within a neighborhood of the gradient matching point
- TRSCF Thogersen, Olsen, Yeager & Jorgensen (2004)
- DCM Yang, Meza, Wang (2007)



Electronic Structure Theory

Mathematically, all problems arising in electronic structure theory are nonlinear minimization problems, with possible lacks of compactness at infinity ... and are subject to a constraint.

C. Le Bris, Proc. of the International Congress of Mathematicians (2006)



Direct Constrained Minimization

- Trick is to solve a sequence of smaller nonlinear eigenvalue problem
- Minimize energy in a particular subspace
- Construct minimization problem so that constraints are satisfied automatically
- Add trust region to ensure robustness
- Resulting method is more robust and faster (especially for larger systems)

C. Yang, J. Meza, L. Wang, A Constrained Optimization Algorithm for Total Energy Minimization in Electronic Structure Calculation, J. Comp. Phy., 217 709-721 (2006)



COMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH DIVISION

Direct Constrained Minimization

- Assume $x^{(i)}$ is the current approximation
- Idea: minimize the energy in a certain (smaller) subspace

• Update
$$x^{(i+1)} = \alpha x^{(i)} + \beta p^{(i-1)} + \gamma r^{(i)};$$

-
$$p^{(i-1)}$$
 previous search direction;
- $r^{(i)} = H^{(i)}x^{(i)} - \theta^{(i)}x^{(i)};$
- choose α, β and γ so that
* $x_{i}^{T} - x_{k+1} = 1$.

*
$$E(x_{k+1}) < E(x_k);$$

C. Yang, J. Meza, L. Wang, A Constrained Optimization Algorithm for Total Energy Minimization in Electronic Structure Calculation, J. Comp. Phy., 217 709-721 (2006)



Example: Graphene

- sampling grid:
 - 114 x 114 x 15
- 10 PCG iterations / SCF outer iteration
- 5 inner SCF
 iteration / DCM
 outer iteration
- supercell:
 - 40 x 40 x 5



C. Yang, J.C. Meza, B. Lee, and L.W. Wang, "KSSOLV - A MATLAB Toolbox for Solving the Kohn-Sham Equation," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2009

Next Steps



COMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH DIVISION

Basic SCF Iteration



- Overall Complexity $O(N^3)$
- Major computational work (for plane wave codes):
 - 3D FFT
 - Orthogonalization
 - Nonlocal potential
- Parallel efficiencies can be quite high



Nanoscience Calculations and Linearly Scaling Algorithms

- Linear Scaling 3D Fragment (LS3DF)
- Density Function Theory (DFT) calculation numerically equivalent to direct DFT
- Scales with O(N) in the number of atoms rather than $O(N^3)$.
- Up to 400X faster than direct DFT
- Achieved 442 TFlops/sec on Cray XT5 with 147K processors and 225 TFlops/s on a Blue Gene/P with 165K processors





Can one use an intermediate state to improve solar cell efficiency?



- Single band material theoretical PV efficiency is 30%
- With an intermediate state, the PV efficiency could be 60%
- One proposed material ZnTe:O
 - Is there really a gap?
- LS3DF calculation for 3500 atom 3% O alloy [one hour on 17,000 processors]
- Yes, there is a gap, and O induced states are very localized.





Summary - The Difference Between Theory and Practice ...

- Theory gives us the framework for analyzing our problems and guides our solutions
- Practice gives us the experience of real-world problems and helps us improve algorithms
- New techniques (as well as old techniques) are always arising that should be investigated
- We are in a unique position to contribute solutions to emerging problems in energy, climate, and many others.



Acknowledgements

Chemical Vapor Deposition

- Patricia Hough, Chris Moen, Todd Plantenga, Paul Spence, Charles Tong
- Supernova
 - Peter Nugent, Rollin Thomas
- Nanoscience
 - Chao Yang
 - Zhengji Zhao, David Bailey, Byounhak-Lee, Hongzhang Shan, Erich Strohmaier, Lin-Wang Wang
- DOE Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research





Thank you!

