Coherent Structures in Run-and-Tumble Processes

#### Arnd Scheel, University of Minnesota

joint work with Angela Stevens, Universität Münster





#### Snowbird, May 2017







# Motivation — myxobacteria

Myxobacteria: interesting collective behavior!

Formation of fruiting bodies

high density, low nutrient

[Berleman, YouTube]

# Motivation — myxobacteria

Myxobacteria: interesting collective behavior!

Formation of fruiting bodies high density, low nutrient Rippling motion for "efficient depletion" of food source

[Berleman, YouTube]

Motivation — building blocks

#### Here: explain rippling!





O.A. Igoshin, R. Welch, D. Kaiser, and G. Oster. *Waves and aggregation patterns in myxobacteria.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **101** (2004), 4256–4261.

Motivation — building blocks

Here: explain rippling!





Phenomena: explain wavenumber selection, apparent "standing waves"!

O.A. Igoshin, R. Welch, D. Kaiser, and G. Oster. *Waves and aggregation patterns in myxobacteria.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **101** (2004), 4256–4261.

Motivation — building blocks

Here: explain rippling!





Phenomena: explain wavenumber selection, apparent "standing waves" !

Modeling: what are the "simplest" mechanisms that explain wavenumber selection here (compare Turing!)?

O.A. Igoshin, R. Welch, D. Kaiser, and G. Oster. *Waves and aggregation patterns in myxobacteria.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **101** (2004), 4256–4261.

Run: Populations of bacteria moving left and right, respectively:

 $u_t = u_x, \qquad v_t = -v_x$ 

Run: Populations of bacteria moving left and right, respectively:

 $u_t = u_x, \qquad v_t = -v_x$ 

Tumble: Left-running agents turn with rate depending on overall concentration r(u, v); reflection symmetry gives

$$u_t = -r(u, v) + r(v, u),$$
  $v_t = r(u, v) - r(v, u)$ 

Run: Populations of bacteria moving left and right, respectively:

 $u_t = u_x, \qquad v_t = -v_x$ 

Tumble: Left-running agents turn with rate depending on overall concentration r(u, v); reflection symmetry gives

$$u_t = -r(u, v) + r(v, u),$$
  $v_t = r(u, v) - r(v, u)$ 

#### Experiments:

- self-propelled motion
- ripples ⊥ motion
- "C-signal" transmitted upon

 $\mathsf{contact} \Rightarrow \mathsf{reversal}$ 

Run: Populations of bacteria moving left and right, respectively:

 $u_t = u_x, \qquad v_t = -v_x$ 

Tumble: Left-running agents turn with rate depending on overall concentration r(u, v); reflection symmetry gives

$$u_t = -r(u, v) + r(v, u),$$
  $v_t = r(u, v) - r(v, u)$ 

Experiments:

Models:

- self-propelled motion
- ripples ⊥ motion
- "C-signal" transmitted upon contact ⇒ reversal

- internal clocks, delays
- struct' population models

[Bonilla PRE 93(2016), 012412] [Börner Phys. Biol. 3(2006), 138] [Igoshin PNAS 101(2004) 4256] [Sliusarenko PNAS 103(2006), 1534]

# What can we explain and what not?

Main results: our model explains

- ✓ rippling patterns
- $\times$  wavenumber selection from white noise
- $\bullet~\checkmark$  wavenumber selection from shot noise or growth

# What can we explain and what not?

Main results: our model explains

- ✓ rippling patterns
- $\times$  wavenumber selection from white noise
- $\checkmark$  wavenumber selection from shot noise or growth

#### What's missing

- test hypotheses
- two-dimensional versions
- nonlinear analysis, stability,...

# Analysis in three chapters

I) equilibria and stablity

*II)* coherent structures

III) pointwise instability

#### Kinetics — nonlinear tumbling

Example: Turning rate increases with collisions in sigmoidal fashion

$$\mathsf{r}(u, \mathbf{v}) = u \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{1 + \gamma \mathbf{v}^2}\right)$$



Phase portraits for  $\gamma = 0.122, 0.115, 0.07, 0.021$ 

#### Kinetics — nonlinear tumbling

Example: Turning rate increases with collisions in sigmoidal fashion

$$\mathsf{r}(u, \mathbf{v}) = u \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{1 + \gamma \mathbf{v}^2}\right)$$





Phase portraits for  $\gamma=0.122, 0.115, 0.07, 0.021$ 

Other kinetics:

$$u \cdot \left(1 + \frac{v^2}{1 + \gamma(u+v)^2}\right)$$







# Linear stability

Stability depends on normal vector  $(n_1, n_2)$  of equilibrium curve:



#### Coherent structures

Many questions... Here: "standing" waves!

$$u_t = +u_x - r(u, v) + r(v, u)$$
$$v_t = -v_x + r(u, v) - r(v, u)$$

Look for sol's  $u_0(x + t)$ ,  $v_0(x - t)$ 

$$\iff r(u_0, v_0) = r(v_0, u_0)$$

E.g. 
$$u \in \{u_{-}, u_{+}\}, v \in \{v_{-}, v_{+}\},$$
  
 $r(u_{\pm}, v_{\pm}) = r(v_{\pm}, u_{\pm})$ 



Plethora of waves with jumps! Stability...

• linearization at constant state can be solved "explicitly"

$$U_t = \mathcal{L}U \Rightarrow U(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} \int_{Y} G_{\lambda}(x - y) U(0, y) dy d\lambda$$

linearization at constant state can be solved "explicitly"

$$U_t = \mathcal{L}U \Rightarrow U(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} \int_{Y} G_{\lambda}(x - y) U(0, y) dy d\lambda$$

- deform  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Re \lambda \to \min$ , but  $G_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  analytic
  - $\Rightarrow$  "pointwise" singularities of  $G_{\lambda}(x) \sim \exp'$  growth rates

linearization at constant state can be solved "explicitly"

$$U_t = \mathcal{L}U \Rightarrow U(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} \int_{Y} G_{\lambda}(x - y) U(0, y) dy d\lambda$$

• deform  $\Gamma$  such that  $\mathcal{R}e \lambda \to min$ , but  $G_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  analytic

 $\Rightarrow$  "pointwise" singularities of  $G_{\lambda}(x) \sim \exp'$  growth rates

• pointwise singularities generically from pinched double roots: Ansatz  $U(t, x) = U_0 e^{\lambda t + \nu x}$  gives dispersion relation  $d(\lambda, \nu)$ 

[Holzer & S., Criteria for pointwise growth and their role in invasion processes, JNLS 2014]

linearization at constant state can be solved "explicitly"

$$U_t = \mathcal{L}U \Rightarrow U(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} \int_{Y} G_{\lambda}(x - y) U(0, y) dy d\lambda$$

• deform  $\Gamma$  such that  $\mathcal{R}e \lambda \to min$ , but  $G_{\lambda}(\cdot)$  analytic

 $\Rightarrow$  "pointwise" singularities of  $G_{\lambda}(x) \sim \exp'$  growth rates

- pointwise singularities generically from pinched double roots: Ansatz  $U(t, x) = U_0 e^{\lambda t + \nu x}$  gives dispersion relation  $d(\lambda, \nu)$
- pinched double roots  $(\lambda, \nu)$  solve

 $d(\lambda, \nu) = 0, \qquad \partial_{\nu} d(\lambda, \nu) = 0, \qquad \nu_{\pm}(\lambda) \to \pm \infty$ 

[Holzer & S., Criteria for pointwise growth and their role in invasion processes, JNLS 2014]

### Spreading speeds and wavenumber selection

Ptwise instabilities/pinched dble roots depend on coordinate frame!

 $U(t,x) \rightarrow U(t,x-ct) \Rightarrow d(\lambda,\nu) \rightarrow d_c(\lambda,\nu) = d(\lambda-c\nu,\nu)$ 

### Spreading speeds and wavenumber selection

Ptwise instabilities/pinched dble roots depend on coordinate frame!

 $U(t,x) \rightarrow U(t,x-ct) \Rightarrow d(\lambda,\nu) \rightarrow d_c(\lambda,\nu) = d(\lambda-c\nu,\nu)$ 

• Spreading speed:  $\sup\{c \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0, \lambda \text{ pinched dble root}\}$ 

### Spreading speeds and wavenumber selection

Ptwise instabilities/pinched dble roots depend on coordinate frame!

 $U(t,x) 
ightarrow U(t,x-ct) \ \Rightarrow \ d(\lambda,
u) 
ightarrow d_c(\lambda,
u) = d(\lambda-c
u,
u)$ 

- Spreading speed:  $\sup\{c \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0, \lambda \text{ pinched dble root}\}$
- λ = iω gives frequency, c spreading speed
   ⇒ wavenumber from nonlinear dispersion relation...

#### Finding pinched double roots

A calculation with  $n_{1/2} = \partial_{u/v}(-r(u, v) + r(v, u))$ , gives

$$d_{c}(\lambda,\nu) = (\lambda - c\nu)^{2} - (\lambda - c\nu)(n_{1} - n_{2}) - (n_{1} + n_{2})\nu - \nu^{2},$$
  
$$\partial_{\nu}d_{c}(\lambda,\nu) = -2c\lambda - (n_{1} + n_{2}) + c(n_{1} - n_{2}) - 2\nu + 2c^{2}\nu,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \lambda_* &= \frac{1}{2} \left( n_1 - n_2 - c(n_1 + n_2) \pm 2 \sqrt{-n_1 n_2 (1 - c^2)} \right), \\ \nu_* &= \frac{1}{2(1 - c^2)} \left( (n_1 + n_2)(c^2 - 1) \mp 2c \sqrt{-n_1 n_2 (1 - c^2)} \right). \end{split}$$

Pinching implies |c| < 1,  $\omega_* = 2 \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}$ ,

 $k_* = n_2$  when  $n_1, n_2 > 0$ ,  $k_* = -n_1$  when  $n_1, n_2 < 0$ .

### Perturbing asymmetric states locally



# Perturbing asymmetric states — shot vs white noise



# Perturbing symmetric states





# Spikes and blowup

Include small diffusive term

$$u_t = \varepsilon u_{xx} + u_x - r(u, v) + r(v, u)$$
$$v_t = \varepsilon v_{xx} - u_x + r(u, v) - r(v, u)$$

Bifurcation of family stationary spikes from  $u = v = u_*!$ 

- unstable at small amplitude
- $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow$  Dirac- $\delta$  singularities
- stability for certain r(u, v) for large amplitude ⇒ blowup, cluster formation, fruiting?

# Diffusion and conversion

Very, very similar phenomena in reaction-diffusion

```
u_t = u_{xx} - f(u, v)v_t = f(u, v)
```



Kotzagiannidis et al., Stable pattern selection through invasion fronts in closed two-species reaction-diffusion systems, RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu B31 (2012)

• wavenumber selection — shot noise vs white noise

- wavenumber selection shot noise vs white noise
- perturbation from symmetric states how do we get to asymmetric states?

- wavenumber selection shot noise vs white noise
- perturbation from symmetric states how do we get to asymmetric states?
- validation compare experiments with mutants!

[Stevens,S., JMB, online first]

- wavenumber selection shot noise vs white noise
- perturbation from symmetric states how do we get to asymmetric states?
- validation compare experiments with mutants!
- blowup!? transition to fruiting?

- wavenumber selection shot noise vs white noise
- perturbation from symmetric states how do we get to asymmetric states?
- validation compare experiments with mutants!
- blowup!? transition to fruiting?

# Thank you!

[Stevens,S., JMB, online first]