Background

Efficient Solution of Coupled Flow and Porous Media Problems by Monolithic Multigrid Methods

Carmen Rodrigo (carmenr@unizar.es)

Joint work with: Francisco J. Gaspar (University of Zaragoza), Peiyao Luo (Delft University of Technology) and Cornelis W. Oosterlee (CWI and Delft University of Technology)

IUMA, Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Zaragoza



Departamento de Matemática Aplicada Universidad Zaragoza



Instituto Universitario de Investigación de Matemáticas y Aplicaciones Universidad Zaragoza

SIAM Conference on Mathematical & Computational Issues in the Geosciences (GS19) March 12, 2019, Houston, Texas, USA

Outline	Background		Numerical Method	Numerical Experiment	Conclusions
Outl	ine				

- Motivation
- Coupled Darcy-Stokes problem
- Discretization
 - Staggered grids
 - Discretization of the interface
- Numerical Method
 - Saddle point system
 - Uzawa smoother
 - Local Fourier Analysis (LFA)
 - Multiblock multigrid algorithm
- Numerical Experiments
- Coupled Stokes Flow and Deformable Porous Medium System.
- Conclusions

Application



(a) filtration process



(c) flooding simulation



(b) blood flow simulation



(d) waste water treatment



COUPLED PROBLEM:

Free flow $\stackrel{interface}{\longleftrightarrow}$ Flow in the porous medium

DIFFERENT APPROACHES to solve the coupled problem:

Domain Decomposition Methods:

Decoupling the global problem so that mainly independent subproblems are to be solved.

Monolithic Methods:

Simultaneous solution of the coupled multi-physics system. Preconditioners and Multigrid methods.





Figure: Geometry of the coupled Darcy/Stokes problem.

Porous medium description	Free flow description:
$\mathbb{K}^{-1} \mathbf{u}^d + abla p^d = 0 ext{ in } \Omega^d \; ,$	$- abla \cdot oldsymbol{\sigma}^f = \mathbf{f}^f \;\; ext{in}\; \Omega^f \;,$
$ abla \cdot \mathbf{u}^d = f^d \text{ in } \Omega^d \ .$	$ abla \cdot \mathbf{u}^f = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^f \; .$
• $\mathbf{u}^d = (u^d, v^d)$ and p^d .	• $\mathbf{u}^f = (u^f, v^f)$ and p^f .
• The hydraulic conductivity tensor $\mathbb{K} = K\mathbb{I}, \ K > 0.$	• $\sigma^f = -p^f \mathbf{I} + 2\nu \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}^f),$ $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}^f) = (\nabla \mathbf{u}^f + (\nabla \mathbf{u}^f)^T)/2.$

We fix the normal vector to the interface to be $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}^f = -\mathbf{n}^d$ and we denote $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ as the tangential unit vector at the interface Γ .

• Mass conservation:

$$\mathbf{u}^f\cdot\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{u}^d\cdot\mathbf{n}\quad\text{ on }\Gamma\ .$$

• Balance of normal stresses:

$$-\mathbf{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}^f\cdot\mathbf{n}=p^d$$
 on Γ .

• Beavers-Joseph-Saffman condition: (α is a parameter)

$$\alpha \mathbf{u}^f \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^f \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{ on } \Gamma \ ,$$

No-slip condition:

$$\mathbf{u}^f\cdot \boldsymbol{ au}=0$$
 on Γ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Staggered grids



Figure: Staggered grid location of unknowns for the coupled model, and corresponding control volumes.

(日)、



Figure: Control volumes for $u^{d/f}$ (left), $v^{d/f}$ (middle), $p^{d/f}$ (right).

≣ જીવભ

Discretization at the interface



Figure: Staggered grid location of the unknowns for the interface conditions.

$$-\frac{(\sigma_{xy})_e - (\sigma_{xy})_w}{h} - \frac{(\sigma_{yy})_n - (\sigma_{yy})_s}{h/2} = (f_2^f)_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}$$
• $(\sigma_{yy})_n$
• $(\sigma_{yy})_s = -p_s^d$
• $(\sigma_{xy})_e$ and $(\sigma_{xy})_w$
Beavers-Joseph-Saffman condition:
$$\alpha u_e^f - \nu \left(\frac{u_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^f - u_e^f}{h/2} + \frac{v_{i+1,j+\frac{1}{2}}^f - v_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^f}{h}\right) = 0$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

 Peiyao Luo, Carmen Rodrigo, Francisco J. Gaspar, Cornelis W. Oosterlee, Uzawa smoother in multigrid for the coupled Porous Medium and Stokes Flow System, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 2017. Outline Background Problem Formulation Discretization Numerical Method Numerical Experiment Concl

Saddle point system

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{u} \\ p \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{g} \\ f \end{array}\right)$$

- B^T : discrete gradient. B: minus discrete divergence.
- A: discrete -νΔ for the Stokes equation. discrete K⁻¹I for the Darcy equation.



tline Background Problem Formulation D

Discretization

Numerical Method

thod Numerica

eriment Conc

Introduction to Multigrid

Multigrid methods are among the fastest iterative methods for solving PDEs

Two principles:

• Smoothing property:



• Coarse-grid correction principle

• Choice of coarse grids and operators

- Inter-grid transfer operators
- Type of cycle
- Smoother
- Number of iterations of pre- and post-smoothing



Multigrid components

Uzawa smoother

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B^{\mathsf{T}} \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathsf{A}} \\ B & -\omega^{-1} I \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathsf{A}} - A & -B^{\mathsf{T}} \\ & -\omega^{-1} I \end{pmatrix},$$

- ω : some positive parameter.
- MA: Symmetric Gauss-Seidel for velocities

$$M_A = (D_A + L_A)D_A^{-1}(D_A + U_A)$$

The decoupled iteration can be described as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{A} \\ B & -\omega^{-1}I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{u}} \\ \widehat{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{A} - A & -B^{T} \\ & -\omega^{-1}I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g} \\ f \end{pmatrix}$$

- apply smoother M_A to relax the system $A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} B^T p$; i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u} + M_A^{-1} (\mathbf{g} - A\mathbf{u} - B^T p)$;
- update the pressure: $\hat{p} = p + \omega (B\hat{u} f)$.
- Optimal Parameter ω_{opt}?

Outline

Problem Formulation

Discretization

Numerical Method

ethod Numeric

xperiment Cond

Comparison between LFA and asymptotic results

• Darcy:
$$\omega_{opt} = \frac{2}{\frac{8K}{h^2} + \frac{2K}{h^2}} = \frac{h^2}{5K}$$

• Stokes: $\omega_{opt} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{1}{\nu}} = \nu$

	[Darcy	Stokes		
$\nu_1 + \nu_2$	K = 1	$K = 10^{-6}$	u = 1	$ u = 10^{-6} $	
2	0.600	0.600	0.304	0.304	
3	0.360	0.360	0.143	0.143	
4	0.216	0.216	0.081	0.081	

Table: Two-grid convergence factors, ρ predicted by LFA.

	K	1		10 ⁻⁶	
	ν	1	10^{-6}	1	10^{-6}
	2	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59
$\nu_1 + \nu_2$	3	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36
	4	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21

Table: Asymptotic convergence factors, ρ_h , for the coupled problem . $\Xi \sim 2$

Outline

Problem Formulation

Discretization

Numerical Method

Numerical Experi

Conclusions

Multiblock multigrid algorithm



Multiblock two-grid algorithm: (with only pre-smoothing)

- Relax velocity unknowns.
- 2 Stokes to Darcy: $v^f \rightarrow v^d$ (•).
- Opdate pressure unknowns.
- Darcy to Stokes: $p^d \rightarrow p^f$ (×).
- Ompute the residual.
- Darcy to Stokes: $r^d \rightarrow r^f$ (•).
- Restrict the residual.
- Solve exactly the defect equation on the coarsest grid.
- **9** Stokes to Darcy: $e^f \rightarrow e^d$.
- Interpolation and correction.

Beavers-Joseph-Saffman interface condition

Analytical solution

$$\mathbf{u}^{d}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} u^{d}(x,y) \\ v^{d}(x,y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -Ke^{y} \cos x \\ -Ke^{y} \sin x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$p^{d}(x,y) = e^{y} \sin x,$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{f}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} u^{f}(x,y) \\ v^{f}(x,y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda'(y) \cos x \\ \lambda(y) \sin x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$p^{f}(x,y) = 0,$$
where $\lambda(y) = -K - \frac{gy}{2\nu} + (-\frac{\alpha}{4\nu^{2}} + \frac{K}{2})y^{2}.$

- $\Omega = (0,1) \times (-1,1), \ \Omega^d = (0,1) \times (-1,0), \ \Omega^f = (0,1) \times (0,1).$
- Interface $\Gamma = (0, 1) \times \{0\}.$
- Free flow: Dirichlet conditions for u^f and v^f at the outer boundaries.
- Porous medium: fixed p^d at the bottom, Dirichlet conditions for u^d and v^d at the lateral walls.

Numerical Method

Numerical Experiment

Beavers-Joseph-Saffman interface condition

	64 × 128	128 imes 256	256 × 512
u ^d	$1.42 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.63 imes 10^{-6}$	$9.19 imes10^{-7}$
v ^d	$4.09 imes10^{-5}$	$1.19 imes10^{-5}$	$3.38 imes 10^{-6}$
p ^d	$9.11 imes10^{-6}$	$2.32 imes 10^{-6}$	$5.84 imes 10^{-7}$
u ^f	$1.21 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.06 imes 10^{-6}$	$7.71 imes 10^{-7}$
v ^f	$2.97 imes10^{-5}$	$7.66 imes 10^{-6}$	$1.95 imes 10^{-6}$
p ^f	$4.74 imes10^{-3}$	$2.38 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.19 imes 10^{-3}$

Table: Maximum norm errors of variables $u^{d/f}$, $v^{d/f}$, $p^{d/f}$ for different grid-sizes, by considering fixed values $\nu = 1$ and K = 1, and prescribing the Beavers-Joseph-Saffman condition at the interface with $\alpha = 1$.

Beavers-Joseph-Saffman interface condition



Figure: History of the convergence of the W(2,2)-multigrid method for different values of the physical parameters.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで

utline Background

Problem Formulation

Discretization

Numerical Method

Numerical Experiment

Conclusions

Realistic problem: cross-flow membrane filtration model



Figure: Geometry of the coupled problem.

- 4 blocks, K = 0.1 or $K = 10^{-6}$, $\nu = 10^{-6}$.
- Beavers-Joseph-Saffman interface condition.
- Communications on each level.
- Excellent multigrid convergence factor 0.2 for W(2,2)-cycle for the coupled system.

Discretization

Numerical Method

Numerical Experiment

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Conclusions

Realistic problem: cross-flow membrane filtration model



Figure: Velocity vectors over the cross-flow filtration domain with different values of permeability.

Problem Formulation

To simulate heterogeneity in the porous medium, a Gaussian model characterized by parameters λ_g and σ_g^2 is considered, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}(d_g) = \sigma_g^2 \exp\left(-rac{d_g^2}{\lambda_g}
ight) \; ,$$

Numerical Method

Numerical Experiment

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 回 の Q (O)</p>

where d_g is the distance between two points, λ_g defines the correlation length and σ_g^2 represents the variance.



Figure: Example of random field of hydraulic conductivity K in log-scale, with parameters $\lambda_g = 0.3$ and $\sigma_g^2 = 1$.

Outline Background

Heterogeneity test

- Two different values for parameter λ_g : $\lambda_g = 0.1$ denotes a more heterogeneous porous medium than $\lambda_g = 0.3$.
- 50 realizations of the random field are generated and we record the multigrid convergence factors of the W(2,2)-cycle.

h^{-1}	$\lambda_g = 0.3$	$\lambda_g = 0.1$
25600	0.19	0.20
12800	0.19	0.21
6400	0.20	0.29

Table: Mean value of the multigrid convergence factors after 50 realizations.



Outline

ound Probler

Problem Formulation

Discretization

Numerical Method

Numerical Experiment

riment Con

Coupled Stokes and Deformable Porous Medium System



Deformable Porous Media

$$-\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{p} = \mathbf{f}^{p} \text{ in } \Omega^{p}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{p}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}^{p} = f^{p} \text{ in } \Omega^{p}$$
$$\mathbf{q}^{p} = -K \nabla p^{p} \text{ in } \Omega^{p}$$

Stokes Flow

$$\begin{split} \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^f}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^f &= \mathbf{f}^f \quad \text{in } \Omega^f \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^f &= 0 \quad \text{ in } \Omega^f \end{split}$$

•
$$\mathbf{u}^{f} = (u^{f}, v^{f}) \text{ and } p^{f}$$

• $\sigma^{f} = -p^{f}\mathbf{I} + 2\nu\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}^{f})$
• $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}^{f}) = (\nabla\mathbf{u}^{f} + (\nabla\mathbf{u}^{f})^{T})/2$

<ロ>

Outline	Background	Problem Formulation	Numerical Method	Numerical Experiment	Conclusions
Inte	rface co	nditions			

• Mass conservation:

$$(\mathbf{u}^f - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^p}{\partial t}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{q}^p \cdot \mathbf{n} \; ,$$

• Balance of normal stresses in the fluid phase:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^f \mathbf{n} = -p^{\rho}$$

• Conservation of momentum:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^f \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^p \mathbf{n} = 0$$

and

$$oldsymbol{ au} \cdot oldsymbol{\sigma}^f \mathbf{n} - oldsymbol{ au} \cdot oldsymbol{\sigma}^p \mathbf{n} = 0$$

• Beavers-Joseph-Saffman interface condition:

$$-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{f}\mathbf{n}=\beta(\mathbf{u}^{f}-\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}^{p}}{\partial t})\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}$$

No-slip condition:

$$\mathbf{u}^{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}=\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}^{p}}{\partial t}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}$$

Saddle point structure

- At each time step: $\begin{pmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & -C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g} \\ f \end{pmatrix}$
 - B^{T} and $B \equiv$ discrete gradient and the negative discrete divergence
 - For the poroelastic system:

• A is $-\mu\Delta - \nabla(\lambda + \mu)\nabla \cdot$ and C corresponds to $-\tau\nabla \cdot (K\nabla p)$

• For the Stokes system:

• A represents
$$\frac{\rho}{\tau}I - \nu\Delta$$
 and C is a zero block

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{f} & R^{T} & (B^{f})^{T} & (R')^{T} \\ R & A^{p} & 0 & (B^{p})^{T} \\ B^{f} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R' & B^{p} & 0 & -C^{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}^{f} \\ \mathbf{u}^{p} \\ p^{f} \\ p^{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}^{f} \\ \mathbf{f}^{p} \\ 0 \\ f^{p} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A^{f} & R^{T} \\ R & A^{p} \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} B^{f} & 0 \\ R' & B^{p} \end{pmatrix}, -C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -C^{p} \end{pmatrix},$$

wheere R and R' contain the coupling at and near the interface.

- Uzawa smoother
- Optimal relaxation parameter
 - Poroelasticity system:

$$\omega^{p} = \frac{h^{2}(\lambda + 2\mu)}{5K\tau(\lambda + 2\mu) + h^{2}}$$

Stokes system:

$$\omega^f = \nu + \frac{\rho h^2}{8\tau}$$

Relaxation parameters do not only depend on the model coefficients but also on the grid size and on time step τ , thus ω^p and ω^f are different on each grid of the hierarchy in the multigrid method

Analytical test. No-slip condition

Analytical solution

$$u^{f} = u^{p} = (y^{2} - y)e^{t}$$
$$v^{f} = v^{p} = 0$$
$$p^{f} = p^{p} = xe^{t}$$

•
$$\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,2), \ \Omega^f = (0,1) \times (0,1), \ \Omega^p = (0,1) \times (1,2)$$

• Interface
$$\Gamma = (0,1) \times \{1\}$$

- Dirichlet boundary conditions for displacements and pressure at the lateral boundaries of Ω^{p} .
- Stress conditions at the top of Ω^p , where the fluid pressure is fixed
- In Ω^f, stress conditions at both inlet and outlet, while a symmetric boundary condition is imposed at the bottom.
- Interface conditions with the simplified no-slip interface condition

Analytical test. No-slip condition

	64 imes 128 imes 4	$128\times256\times8$	$256 \times 512 \times 16$
u ^f	2.01×10^{-4}	$9.73 imes 10^{-5}$	$4.76 imes 10^{-5}$
v ^f	$1.20 imes 10^{-4}$	$4.47 imes 10^{-5}$	$2.31 imes 10^{-5}$
p ^f	$3.16 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.63 imes 10^{-3}$	$7.95 imes 10^{-4}$
и ^р	$6.77 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.46 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.75 imes 10^{-3}$
v ^p	$6.38 imes 10^{-4}$	$3.26 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.65 imes 10^{-4}$
p^{p}	$3.87 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.68 imes 10^{-3}$	$7.75 imes 10^{-4}$

Table: Maximum norm errors of variables $u^{f/p}$, $v^{f/p}$ and $p^{f/p}$ for different grid sizes with parameters K = 1, $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = 1$, $\nu = 1$ and $\rho = 1$.

Analytical test. No-slip condition



Numerical Method

Numerical Experiment

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Figure: History of the convergence of the W(2,2)-multigrid method for different values of the physical parameters

Multi-block realistic test



- Fluid inflow in Ω^f : $\sigma^f_{xx} = -20000$
- Small exit at the right vertical boundary (stress-free boundary)
- $K = 10^{-4}$, $\lambda = 10^{6}$, $\mu = 2.5 \times 10^{5}$, $\nu = 0.0035$ and $\rho = 1$.

Conclusions

Drained conditions on the exterior of Ω^p

• Drained conditions $(p^p=0)$ for pressure on the exterior of Ω^p







E 990

Impermeable conditions on the exterior of Ω^p

• Impermeable conditions on the exterior of Ω^p

$$K = 0.01$$

$$K = 10^{-4}$$

(日)、

э

Numerical Experiment

Numerical Method



Outline	Background		Numerical Method	Numerical Experiment	Conclusions
Conc	lusions				

- A coupled model based on the Darcy equation and the Stokes equations with appropriate internal interface conditions is formulated.
- An efficient monolithic multigrid solution technique with a decoupled Uzawa smoother is employed for the coupled system.
- LFA smoothing analysis is applied to determine the optimal parameters in the smoother.
- The proposed method is independent from the physical parameters, which is more robust than other existing strategies.
- Same idea applied for a more complicated coupled model based on Deformable porous media and Stokes equations.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Outline	Background		Numerical Method	Numerical Experiment	Conclusions
Conc	lusions				

- A coupled model based on the Darcy equation and the Stokes equations with appropriate internal interface conditions is formulated.
- An efficient monolithic multigrid solution technique with a decoupled Uzawa smoother is employed for the coupled system.
- LFA smoothing analysis is applied to determine the optimal parameters in the smoother.
- The proposed method is independent from the physical parameters, which is more robust than other existing strategies.
- Same idea applied for a more complicated coupled model based on Deformable porous media and Stokes equations.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!!