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## Introduction

## Storage of radioactive wastes

Model: System of PDE's with complementarity constraints

$$
\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}) \geq 0, \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}) \geq 0, \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U})=0 . \mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{U})=0 .
$$

Space/Time discretisation
$S^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right)=0$
$\mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right) \geq 0 \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right) \geq 0 \mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right) \cdot \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right)=0$
Resolution: semismooth Newton

$$
\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n, k, i}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1}-\boldsymbol{R}^{n, k, i}
$$

## Introduction

## Storage of radioactive wastes

Model: System of PDE's with complementarity constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{U}+\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{U}) & =0 \\
\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}) \geq 0, \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}) \geq 0, \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}) & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Space/Time discretisation
$S^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right)=0$
$\mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right) \geq 0 \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right) \geq 0 \mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right) \cdot \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right)=0$
Resolution: semismooth Newton

$$
\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n, k, i}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1}-\boldsymbol{R}^{n, k, i}
$$

Can we estimate each error components (discretization, linearization, algebraic)?

$$
\Rightarrow \text { A posteriori error estimates }
$$

Can we reduce the computational cost?
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## Compositional two-phase flow with phase transition

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} /_{\mathrm{w}}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{w}}=Q_{\mathrm{w}}, & \text { Unknowns: } S^{1}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{1} \\ \partial_{t} h_{\mathrm{h}}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{h}}=Q_{\mathrm{h}}, & \\ \mathcal{K}\left(S^{\mathrm{l}}\right) \geq 0, \mathcal{G}\left(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{1}\right) \geq 0, \mathcal{K}\left(S^{\mathrm{l}}\right) \cdot \mathcal{G}\left(S^{1}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{1}\right)=0\end{cases}
$$

Amount of components: $I_{\mathrm{w}}:=\phi \rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{1} S^{1}, \quad I_{\mathrm{h}}:=\phi \rho_{\mathrm{h}}^{1} S^{1}+\phi \rho_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{g}} S^{\mathrm{g}}$
Fluxes: $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{w}}:=\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{1} \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{h}}:=\rho_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{1}}+\rho_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{g}}+\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}$
Capillary pressure: $P^{\mathrm{g}}:=P^{\mathrm{l}}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S^{\mathrm{l}}\right)$
Algebraic closure: $S^{1}+S^{g}=1, \quad \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{1}+\chi_{\mathrm{w}}^{1}=1, \quad \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{g}}=1$

Boundary conditions: $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{w}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Omega}=0, \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Omega}=0$.

## Discretization by the finite volume method

## Numerical solution:

$$
\boldsymbol{U}^{n}:=\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right)_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{n}}, \quad \boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}:=\left(S_{K}^{n}, P_{K}^{n}, \chi_{K}^{n}\right) \quad \text { one value per cell and time step }
$$

Time discretization: Consider: $t_{0}=0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N_{t}}=t_{\mathrm{F}}$.


Space discretization: $\mathcal{T}_{h}$ a superadmissible family of conforming simplicial meshes of the space domain $\Omega$. Number of cells : $N_{\text {sp }}$

$$
\left(\nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{K, \sigma}, 1\right)_{\sigma}:=|\sigma| \frac{v_{L}-v_{K}}{d_{K L}} \sigma=\bar{K} \cap \bar{L},
$$



## Discretization of the water equation

$$
S_{\mathrm{w}, K}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=|K| \partial_{t}^{n} l_{\mathrm{w}, K}+\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} F_{\mathrm{w}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right)-|K| Q_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{~K}}^{n}=0,
$$

## Total flux

$$
F_{\mathrm{w}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{1}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\psi^{1}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}-\left(\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}^{\mathrm{int}} \quad \bar{\sigma}=\bar{K} \cap \bar{L} .
$$

## Discretization of the hydrogen equation



## Discretization of the water equation

$$
S_{\mathrm{w}, K}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=|K| \partial_{t}^{n} l_{\mathrm{w}, K}+\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} F_{\mathrm{w}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right)-|K| Q_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{~K}}^{n}=0,
$$

## Total flux

$$
F_{\mathrm{w}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{1}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\psi^{1}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}-\left(\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}^{\mathrm{int}} \quad \bar{\sigma}=\bar{K} \cap \bar{L} .
$$

## Discretization of the hydrogen equation

$$
S_{\mathrm{h}, K}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=|K| \partial_{t}^{n} l_{\mathrm{h}, K}+\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} F_{\mathrm{h}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right)-|K| Q_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{~K}}^{n}=0,
$$

## Total flux

$F_{\mathrm{h}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=\beta^{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\psi^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}+\left(\psi^{\mathrm{g}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{g}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\rho^{\mathrm{g}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}+\left(\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}^{\mathrm{int}} \quad \bar{\sigma}=\bar{K} \cap \bar{L}$.

## Discretization of the water equation

$$
S_{\mathrm{w}, K}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=\left.|K| \partial_{t}^{n}\right|_{\mathrm{w}, K}+\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} F_{\mathrm{w}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right)-|K| Q_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{~K}}^{n}=0,
$$

## Total flux

$$
F_{\mathrm{w}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{1}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\psi^{1}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}-\left(\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}^{\mathrm{int}} \quad \bar{\sigma}=\bar{K} \cap \bar{L} .
$$

## Discretization of the hydrogen equation

$$
S_{\mathrm{h}, K}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=|K| \partial_{t}^{n} l_{\mathrm{h}, K}+\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} F_{\mathrm{h}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right)-|K| Q_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{~K}}^{n}=0,
$$

## Total flux

$F_{\mathrm{h}, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right):=\beta^{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\psi^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}+\left(\psi^{\mathrm{g}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{g}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}\left(\rho^{\mathrm{g}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}+\left(\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\sigma}^{n}, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}^{\mathrm{int}} \quad \bar{\sigma}=\bar{K} \cap \bar{L}$.
At each time step, for each components, we obtain the nonlinear system of algebraic equations

$$
S_{c, K}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right)=0
$$

## Discrete complementarity problem

## Discretization of the nonlinear complementarity constraints

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right):=1-S_{K}^{n} \quad \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right):=H\left(P_{K}^{n}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{K}^{n}\right)\right)-\beta^{1} \chi_{K}^{n}
$$

The discretization reads


Can we reformulate the complementarity constraints?

## Discrete complementarity problem

Discretization of the nonlinear complementarity constraints

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right):=1-S_{K}^{n} \quad \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right):=H\left(P_{K}^{n}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{K}^{n}\right)\right)-\beta^{1} \chi_{K}^{n}
$$

The discretization reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{c, K}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right)=0 \\
& \mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right) \cdot \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Can we reformulate the complementarity constraints?

## Semismoothness

## To reformulate the discrete constraints:

Definition (C-function)

$$
\forall(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\mathrm{sp}}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{\mathrm{sp}}}, f(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=0 \Longleftrightarrow \boldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=0
$$

min-function: $\min (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=0 \Longleftrightarrow \boldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=0$.
Application: complementarity constraints for the two-phase model

The discretization reads
$\square$


## Semismoothness

## To reformulate the discrete constraints:

Definition (C-function)

$$
\forall(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {sp }}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {sp }}}, f(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=0 \Longleftrightarrow \boldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=0
$$

min-function: $\min (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=0 \Longleftrightarrow \boldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=0$.
Application: complementarity constraints for the two-phase model

$$
\underbrace{1-S_{K}^{n}}_{\mathcal{K}\left(S_{K}^{n}\right)} \geq 0 \underbrace{H\left(P_{K}^{n}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{K}^{n}\right)\right)-\beta^{1} \chi_{K}^{n}}_{\mathcal{G}\left(P_{K}^{n}, S_{K}^{n}, \chi_{K}^{n}\right)} \geq 0
$$

The discretization reads

## Semismoothness

## To reformulate the discrete constraints:

## Definition (C-function)

$$
\forall(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {sp }}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {sp }}}, f(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=0 \Longleftrightarrow \boldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=0
$$

min-function: $\min (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=0 \Longleftrightarrow \boldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=0$.
Application: complementarity constraints for the two-phase model

$$
\underbrace{1-S_{K}^{n}}_{\mathcal{K}\left(S_{K}^{n}\right)} \geq 0 \underbrace{H\left(P_{K}^{n}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{K}^{n}\right)\right)-\beta^{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{K}^{n}}_{\mathcal{G}\left(P_{K}^{n}, S_{K}^{n}, \chi_{K}^{n}\right)} \geq 0
$$

The discretization reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{c, K}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}\right)=0 \\
& \min \left(1-S_{K}^{n}, H\left(P_{K}^{n}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{K}^{n}\right)\right)-\beta^{1} \chi_{K}^{n}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inexact semismooth Newton method

Semismooth Newton linearization: Given an initial guess $\boldsymbol{U}^{n, 0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 N_{s p}}$, consider:

$$
\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \boldsymbol{U}^{n, k}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1}
$$

Inexact Semismooth Newton linearization: We use an iterative algebraic solver at the semismooth Newton step $k \geq 1$, starting from an initial guess $\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, 0}$ generating a sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ satisfying

$$
\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1}-\boldsymbol{R}^{n, k, i}
$$

Can we estimate the discretization error?

Can we estimate the semismooth linearization error?

## Inexact semismooth Newton method

Semismooth Newton linearization: Given an initial guess $\boldsymbol{U}^{n, 0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 N_{\mathrm{sp}}}$, consider:

$$
\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \boldsymbol{U}^{n, k}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1}
$$

Inexact Semismooth Newton linearization: We use an iterative algebraic solver at the semismooth Newton step $k \geq 1$, starting from an initial guess $\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, 0}$ generating a sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ satisfying

$$
\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1}-\boldsymbol{R}^{n, k, i}
$$

Can we estimate the discretization error?
Can we estimate the semismooth linearization error?
Can we estimate the iterative algebraic error?
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## Weak solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X:=L^{2}\left(\left(0, t_{\mathrm{F}}\right) ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right), \\
& Y:=H^{1}\left(\left(0, t_{\mathrm{F}}\right) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \hat{Y}:=H^{1}\left(\left(0, t_{\mathrm{F}}\right) ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right), \\
& Z:=\left\{v \in L^{2}\left(\left(0, t_{\mathrm{F}}\right) ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right), \quad v \geq 0 \text { on } \Omega \times\left(0, t_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Assumption (Weak formulation)


the initial condition holds.
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## Assumption (Weak formulation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{1} \in \widehat{Y}, \quad 1-S^{1} \in Z, \quad I_{\mathrm{w}} \in Y, \quad I_{\mathrm{h}} \in Y, \quad P^{\mathrm{l}} \in X, \quad \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{1} \in X, \\
& \left(\Phi_{\mathrm{w}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \in\left[L^{2}\left(\left(0, t_{\mathrm{F}}\right) ; \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)\right)\right]^{2}, \\
& \int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} l_{c}, \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt}-\int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}}\left(\Phi_{c}, \nabla \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt}=\int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}}\left(Q_{c}, \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt} \quad \forall \varphi \in X, \\
& \int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}}\left(\lambda-\left(1-S^{1}\right), H\left[P^{\mathrm{l}}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S^{1}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{1}\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt} \geq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in Z,
\end{aligned}
$$

the initial condition holds.
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the initial condition holds.


## Approximate solution

$$
S_{K}^{n, k, i} \in \mathbb{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \quad P_{K}^{n, k, i} \in \mathbb{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \quad \chi_{K}^{n, k, i} \in \mathbb{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right)
$$

The discrete liquid pressure and discrete molar fraction do not belong to $H^{1}(\Omega)$ We construct a conforming solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \quad \mathbb{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \quad \mathbb{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \\
& \text { solving local problems } \\
& \text { in each cell } \\
& \text { Oswald interpolation } \\
& \text { operator }
\end{aligned}
$$

Space-time functions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \in Y, \quad P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \in \mathbb{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \notin X, \quad \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \in \mathbb{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \notin X \\
\tilde{P}_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \in \mathbb{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \in X, \\
\tilde{\chi}_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \in \mathbb{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{h}\right) \in X .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Error measure

## Dual norm of the residual for the components

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{c}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right\|_{X_{n}^{\prime}}:=\sup _{\substack{\varphi \in X_{n} \\\|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}=1}} \int_{l_{n}}\left(Q_{c}-\partial_{t} t_{c, h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t)+\left(\Phi_{c, h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \nabla \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt}
$$

## Residual for the constraints

$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, \ldots}\right.$


Error measure for the nonconformity of the pressure


Error measure for nonconformity of the molar fraction


## Error measure

## Dual norm of the residual for the components

$$
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Error measure for nonconformity of the molar fraction


## Error measure

## Dual norm of the residual for the components

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{c}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right\|_{X_{n}^{\prime}}:=\sup _{\substack{\varphi \in X_{n} \\\|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}=1}} \int_{l_{n}}\left(Q_{c}-\partial_{t} \eta_{c, h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t)+\left(\Phi_{c, h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \nabla \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt}
$$

Residual for the constraints
$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right):=\int_{I_{n}}\left(1-S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, H\left[P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt}$
Error measure for the nonconformity of the pressure

$$
\mathcal{N}_{P}\left(P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right):=\inf _{\delta_{1} \in X_{n}}\left\{\sum_{c \in\{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{~h}\}} \int_{I_{n}}\left\|\frac{\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{1}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)}{\mu^{1}} \rho_{c}^{1} \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}-\delta_{1}\right)(t)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{dt}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Error measure for nonconformity of the molar fraction

## Error measure

## Dual norm of the residual for the components

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{c}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right\|_{X_{n}^{\prime}}:=\sup _{\substack{\varphi \in X_{n} \\\|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}=1}} \int_{l_{n}}\left(Q_{c}-\left.\partial_{t}\right|_{c, h \tau} ^{n, k, i}, \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t)+\left(\Phi_{c, h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \nabla \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt}
$$

Residual for the constraints
$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right):=\int_{I_{n}}\left(1-S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, H\left[P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)_{\Omega}(t) \mathrm{dt}$
Error measure for the nonconformity of the pressure

$$
\mathcal{N}_{P}\left(P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right):=\inf _{\delta_{1} \in X_{n}}\left\{\sum_{c \in\{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{~h}\}} \int_{I_{n}} \| \frac{\left.\mathbf{K} \frac{k_{\mathrm{r}}^{1}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)}{\mu^{1}} \rho_{c}^{1} \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}-\delta_{1}\right)(t) \|^{2} \mathrm{dt}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} .{ }^{2} .}{}\right.
$$

Error measure for nonconformity of the molar fraction
$\mathcal{N}_{\chi}\left(\chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right):=\inf _{\theta \in X_{n}}\left\{\int_{I_{n}}\left\|-\phi M_{\mathrm{h}} S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\left(\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{1}}{M_{\mathrm{w}}}+\frac{\beta^{1}}{M_{\mathrm{h}}} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right) D_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \nabla\left(\chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}-\theta\right)(t)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{dt}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$

## Definition (Error measure)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}^{n, k, i} & :=\left\{\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{c}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right\|_{X_{n}^{\prime}}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left\{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{N}_{p}^{2}+\mathcal{N}_{\chi}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}, \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

$$
\mathcal{N}^{n, k, i} \leq \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{n, k, i}+\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{n, k, i}+\eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{n, k, i}
$$

How do we construct each error estimators?

## Component flux reconstructions

## The finite volume scheme provides

$$
\left.|K| \partial_{t}^{n}\right|_{c, K}+\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} F_{c, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}\right)=|K| Q_{c, K}^{n}
$$

Inexact semismooth linearization

$$
\frac{|K|}{\Delta t}\left[I_{c, K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k-1}\right)-I_{c, K}^{n-1}+\mathcal{L}_{c, K}^{n, k, i}\right]+\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{k}^{\text {int }}} \mathcal{F}_{c, K, \sigma}^{n, k, i}-|K| Q_{c, K}^{n}+\boldsymbol{R}_{c, K}^{n, k, i}=0
$$

Linear perturbation in the accumulation

$$
\mathcal{L}_{c, K}^{n, k, i}:=\sum_{K^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \frac{|K|}{\Delta t} \frac{\partial l_{c, K}^{n}}{\partial \boldsymbol{U}_{K^{\prime}}^{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{K^{\prime}}^{n, k-1}\right)\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{K^{\prime}}^{n, k, i}-\boldsymbol{U}_{K^{\prime}}^{n, k-1}\right]
$$

Linearized component flux

$$
\mathcal{F}_{c, K, \sigma}^{n, k,,}:=\sum_{K^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \frac{\partial F_{c, K, \sigma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{U}_{K^{\prime}}^{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k-1}\right)\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{K^{\prime}}^{n, k, i}-\boldsymbol{U}_{K^{\prime}}^{n, k-1}\right]+F_{c, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k-1}\right)
$$

## Discretization error flux reconstruction:

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h, \text { disc }}^{n, k, i} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{K}, \boldsymbol{1}\right)_{\sigma}:=F_{c, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}\right) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}
$$

## Linearization error flux reconstruction:

$$
\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{c, h, \text { lin }}^{n, k, i} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{K}, 1\right)_{\sigma}:=\mathcal{F}_{c, K, \sigma}^{n, k, i}-F_{c, K, \sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}\right) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}
$$

## Algebraic error flux reconstruction:

$$
\Theta_{c, h, \text { alg }}^{n, k, i, \nu}:=\Theta_{c, h, \text { disc }}^{n, k, i+\nu}+\Theta_{c, h, \text { lin }}^{n, k, l^{2}}-\left(\Theta_{c, h, \text { disc }}^{n, k, i}+\Theta_{c, h, \text { lin }}^{n, k, i}\right) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}
$$

## Total flux reconstruction:

$$
\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h}^{n, k, i, \nu}:=\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h, \text { disc }}^{n, k,}+\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h, \text { lin }}^{n, k, i}+\Theta_{c, h, \text { alg }}^{n, k, i, \nu} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)
$$

## Error estimators

- $\partial_{t} I_{c}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h}^{n, k, i, \nu} \neq Q_{c} \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h}^{n, k, i, \nu} \neq \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{c, h \tau}^{n, k, i}\left(t^{n}\right)$
- $1-S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \nsupseteq 0 \quad H\left[P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}+P_{\text {cp }}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \nsupseteq 0$
- $P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \notin X \quad \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \notin X$

Discretization estimator


## Error estimators

- $\partial_{t} I_{c}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h}^{n, k, i, \nu} \neq Q_{c} \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h}^{n, k, i, \nu} \neq \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{c, h \tau}^{n, k, i}\left(t^{n}\right)$
- $1-S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \nsupseteq 0 \quad H\left[P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \nsupseteq 0$
- $P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \notin X \quad \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i} \notin X$


## Discretization estimator

$\eta_{\mathrm{R}, K, c}^{n, k, i, \nu}:=\min \left\{C_{\mathrm{PW}}, \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\} h_{K}\left\|Q_{c, h}^{n}-\frac{I_{c, K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k-1}\right)-I_{c, K}^{n-1}+\mathcal{L}_{c, K}^{n, k, i}}{\tau_{n}}-\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h}^{n, k, i}\right\|_{K}$
$\eta_{\mathrm{F}, K, c}^{n, k, i, \nu}(t):=\left\|\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h}^{n, k, i, \nu}-\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{c, h \tau}^{n, k, i}(t)\right\|_{K}$
$\eta_{\mathrm{P}, K, \mathrm{pos}}^{n, k, i}(t):=\left(\left\{1-S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right\}^{+},\left\{H\left[P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right\}^{+}\right)_{K}$
$\eta_{\mathrm{NC}, K, 1, c}^{n, k, i}(t):=\left\|\underline{\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{1}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)} \rho^{1} \rho_{c}^{1} \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}-\tilde{P}_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)(t)\right\|_{K}$
$\eta_{\mathrm{NC}, K, \chi}^{n, k, i}(t):=\left\|-\phi M_{\mathrm{h}} S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\left(\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{1}}{M_{\mathrm{w}}}+\frac{\beta^{1}}{M_{\mathrm{h}}} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right) D_{\mathrm{h}}^{1} \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(\chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}-\tilde{\chi}_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)(t)\right\|_{K}$

## Error estimators

## Linearization estimator

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{\operatorname{lin}, K, c}^{n, k, i}:=\left\|\Theta_{c, h, \operatorname{lin}}^{n, k, i}\right\|_{K} \\
& \eta_{\mathrm{NA}, K, c}^{n, k, i}:=\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{K}\left(\tau_{n}\right)^{-1}\left\|I_{c, K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}\right)-I_{c, K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k-1}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{c, K}^{n, k, i}\right\|_{K} \\
& \eta_{\mathrm{P}, K, \text { neg }}^{n, k, i}(t):=\left(\left\{1-S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right\}^{-},\left\{H\left[P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right\}^{-}\right)_{K} \tag{t}
\end{align*}
$$

## Algebraic estimator



## Error estimators

## Linearization estimator

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{\text {lin }, k, c}^{n, k, i}:=\left\|\Theta_{c, h, l i n}^{n, k}\right\|_{K} \\
& \eta_{\mathrm{NA}, K, c}^{n, k,}:=\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{K}\left(\tau_{n}\right)^{-1}\left\|I_{c, K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}\right)-I_{c, K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k-1}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{c, k}^{n, k, i}\right\|_{K} \\
& \eta_{p, k, n \mathrm{eg}}^{n, k, i}(t):=\left(\left\{1-S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right\}^{-},\left\{H\left[P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right\}^{-}\right)_{K} \tag{t}
\end{align*}
$$

## Algebraic estimator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\mathrm{alg}, K, c}^{n, k, i} & :=\left\|\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h, \mathrm{alg}}^{n, k, i, \nu}\right\|_{K} \\
\eta_{\mathrm{rem}, K, c}^{n, k, i, \nu} & :=h_{K}|K|^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{c, K}^{n, k, i+\nu}\right\|_{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Error estimators

## Linearization estimator

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{\text {lin }, K, c}^{n, k, i}:=\left\|\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c, h, \text { in }}^{n, k, i}\right\|_{K} \\
& \eta_{\mathrm{NA}, K, c}^{n, k, i}:=\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{K}\left(\tau_{n}\right)^{-1}\left\|I_{c, K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}\right)-I_{c, K}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k-1}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{c, K}^{n, k, i}\right\|_{K} \\
& \eta_{\mathrm{P}, K, \text { neg }}^{n, k, i}(t):=\left(\left\{1-S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right\}^{-},\left\{H\left[P_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right)\right]-\beta^{1} \chi_{h \tau}^{n, k, i}\right\}^{-}\right)_{K} \tag{t}
\end{align*}
$$

## Algebraic estimator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\mathrm{als}, K, c}^{n, k, i} & :=\left\|\mathbf{\Theta}_{c, h, h, \mathrm{alg}}^{n, k, i, \nu}\right\|_{K} \\
\eta_{\mathrm{rem}, K, c}^{n, k, \nu} & =h_{K}|K|^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{c, K}^{n, k, i+\nu}\right\|_{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark

$$
\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{n, k, i} \rightarrow 0 \quad \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{n, k, i} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { when } \quad k, i \rightarrow \infty
$$

## Adaptivity

Algorithm 1 Adaptive inexact semismooth Newton algorithm
Initialization (semismooth Newton): Choose an initial vector $\boldsymbol{U}^{n, 0}:=$ $\mathbf{U}^{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 N_{\text {sp }}},(k=0)$
Do
$k=k+1$
Compute $\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 N_{\mathrm{sp}}, 3 N_{\mathrm{sp}}}, \quad \boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 N_{\mathrm{sp}}}$
Consider the system of linear algebraic equations $\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \boldsymbol{U}^{n, k}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1}$
Initialization (linear solver): Define $\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, 0}=\boldsymbol{U}^{n, k-1},(i=0)$ as
initial guess for the linear solver
Do
$i=i+1$
Compute Residual: $\boldsymbol{R}^{n, k, i}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n, k-1}-\mathbb{A}^{n, k-1} \boldsymbol{U}^{n, k, i}$
Compute estimators
While $\eta_{\text {alg }}^{n, k, i} \geq \gamma_{\text {alg }} \max \left\{\eta_{\text {disc }}^{n, k, i}, \eta_{\text {lin }}^{n, k, i}\right\}$
While $\eta_{\text {lin }}^{n, k, i} \geq \gamma_{\text {lin }} \eta_{\text {disc }}^{n, k, i}$
End
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## Numerical experiments

$\Omega$ : one-dimensional core with length $L=200 \mathrm{~m}$.
Semismooth solver: Newton-min
Iterative algebraic solver: GMRES.
Time step: $\Delta t=5000$ years,
Number of cells: $N_{\text {sp }}=1000$,
Final simulation time: $t_{\mathrm{F}}=5 \times 10^{5}$ years.

(3)
(4)


Gas injection

## Numerical solution $t=1.05 \times 10^{5}$ years





## Violation of the complementarity constraints




## Phase transition estimator




## Remark

This estimator detects the error caused by the appearance of the gas phase whenever the gas spreads throughout the domain.

## Overall performance $\gamma_{\text {in }}=\gamma_{\mathrm{alg}}=10^{-3}$




## Accuracy $\gamma_{\text {lin }}=\gamma_{\text {alg }}=10^{-3}$

$t=1.05 \times 10^{5}$ years



## Complements: Newton-Fischer-Burmeister

$$
\left[f_{\mathrm{FB}}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})\right]_{l}=\sqrt{\boldsymbol{a}_{l}^{2}+\boldsymbol{b}_{l}^{2}}-\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{l}+\boldsymbol{b}_{l}\right) \quad I=1, \ldots, N_{\mathrm{sp}} .
$$

| $\left(\gamma_{\text {alg }}, \gamma_{\text {lin }}\right)$ | Cumulated <br> Newton-Fischer-Burmeister <br> iterations | number of <br> Cumulated number of <br> GMRES iterations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(10^{-1}, 10^{-1}\right)$ | 100 | 428 |
| $\left(10^{-3}, 10^{-3}\right)$ | 119 | 751 |
| $\left(10^{-3}, 10^{-6}\right)$ | 482 | 2074 |
| $\left(10^{-6}, 10^{-3}\right)$ | 117 | 1694 |
| Exact resolution | 757 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 8 9}$ |

- Adaptive inexact Newton-Fischer-Burmeister is faster than exact Newton-Fischer-Burmeister. It saves roughly $90 \%$ of the iterations
- Adaptive inexact Newton-min is faster than Adaptive inexact Newton-Fischer-Burmeister. It saves roughly 40\% of the iterations.
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## Conclusion

- We devised for a two-phase flow problem with phase appearance and disappearance an a posteriori error estimate between the exact and approximate solution
- We treat a wide class of semismooth Newton methods
- This estimate distinguishes the error components


## Ongoing work:

- Devise space-time adaptivity
- extension to multiphase compositional flow with several phase transitions
I. Ben Gharbia, J. Dabaghi, V. Martin, and M. Vohralík, A posteriori error estimates and adaptive stopping criteria for a compositional two-phase flow with nonlinear complementarity constraints. HAL Preprint 01919067, submitted for publication, 2018
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