A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

A posteriori error estimates and stopping criteria for a two-phase flow with nonlinear complementarity constraints

Ibtihel Ben Gharbia, Jad Dabaghi, Vincent Martin, Martin Vohralík

Inria Paris & Université Paris-Est

SIAM Conference on Mathematical & Computational Issues in the Geosciences, March 13<sup>th</sup> 2019





Introd	luction
11111100	ucuon

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Outline



- 2 Model problem and its discretization
- 3 A posteriori analysis
- 4 Numerical experiments
- 5 Conclusion

Inte	ad	t	00
mu	uu	ucı	UH

A posteriori analysis

### Introduction

#### Storage of radioactive wastes



Model: System of PDE's with complementarity constraints

 $\partial_t \boldsymbol{U} + \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{U}) = 0$  $\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}) \ge 0, \ \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}) \ge 0, \ \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}) = 0.$ 

Space/Time discretisation

 $\begin{aligned} S^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) &= 0\\ \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) \geq 0 \ \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) \geq 0 \ \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) = 0 \end{aligned}$ 

Resolution: semismooth Newton

$$\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1}\boldsymbol{U}_h^{n,k,i} = \boldsymbol{B}^{n,k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}^{n,k,i}$$

etization, linearization,  $al^{-} \Rightarrow$  **A poster** 

Can we reduce the computational cost?

A posteriori error estimates

Inte	ad	t	00
mu	uu	ucı	UH

A posteriori analysis

### Introduction

#### Storage of radioactive wastes



Model: System of PDE's with complementarity constraints

 $\partial_t \boldsymbol{U} + \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{U}) = 0$  $\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}) \ge 0, \ \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}) \ge 0, \ \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}) = 0.$ 

Space/Time discretisation

 $\begin{aligned} S^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) &= 0\\ \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) \geq 0 \ \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) \geq 0 \ \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) = 0 \end{aligned}$ 

Resolution: semismooth Newton

$$\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1}\boldsymbol{U}_h^{n,k,i} = \boldsymbol{B}^{n,k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}^{n,k,i}$$

⇒ A posteriori error estimates

Can we estimate each error components (discretization, linearization, algebraic)?

Can we reduce the computational cost?

٦d	11	oti	0	n

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Outline

### Introduction

### 2 Model problem and its discretization

#### 3 A posteriori analysis

#### 4 Numerical experiments

#### 5 Conclusion

Introduction

A posteriori analysis 000000000 Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Compositional two-phase flow with phase transition

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \textit{I}_w + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_w = \textit{Q}_w, & \textbf{Unknowns:} \textit{S}^l, \textit{P}^l, \chi_h^l \\ \partial_t \textit{I}_h + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_h = \textit{Q}_h, \\ \mathcal{K}(\textit{S}^l) \geq 0, \; \mathcal{G}(\textit{S}^l, \textit{P}^l, \chi_h^l) \geq 0, \; \mathcal{K}(\textit{S}^l) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\textit{S}^l, \textit{P}^l, \chi_h^l) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Amount of components:  $I_{w} := \phi \rho_{w}^{l} S^{l}$ ,  $I_{h} := \phi \rho_{h}^{l} S^{l} + \phi \rho_{h}^{g} S^{g}$ 

Capillary pressure:  $P^{\text{g}} := P^{\text{l}} + P_{\text{cp}}(S^{\text{l}})$ 

Algebraic closure:  $S^l + S^g = 1$ ,  $\chi^l_h + \chi^l_w = 1$ ,  $\chi^g_h = 1$ 

 $\label{eq:boundary conditions: } \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{w}\cdot\boldsymbol{\textit{n}}_{\Omega}=0, \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{h}\cdot\boldsymbol{\textit{n}}_{\Omega}=0.$ 

A posteriori analysis 000000000 Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Discretization by the finite volume method

#### **Numerical solution:**

 $\boldsymbol{U}^n := (\boldsymbol{U}_K^n)_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h}, \qquad \boldsymbol{U}_K^n := (\boldsymbol{S}_K^n, \boldsymbol{P}_K^n, \chi_K^n) \quad \text{one value per cell and time step}$ 

**Time discretization:** Consider:  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{N_t} = t_F$ .



**Space discretization:**  $T_h$  a superadmissible family of conforming simplicial meshes of the space domain  $\Omega$ . Number of cells :  $N_{sp}$ 

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{K,\sigma},1\right)_{\sigma}:=|\sigma|\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_{L}-\boldsymbol{v}_{K}}{\boldsymbol{d}_{KL}}\ \sigma=\overline{K}\cap\overline{L},$$



A posteriori analysis

#### Conclusion

#### **Discretization of the water equation**

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K}}^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}) := |\mathcal{K}|\partial_{t}^{n}l_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K}} + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}}F_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K},\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}) - |\mathcal{K}|Q_{\mathrm{w},\mathrm{K}}^{n} = 0,$$

#### **Total flux**

$$F_{\mathrm{w},K,\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) := \rho^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}})^n_{\sigma}(\psi^{\mathrm{l}})^n_{\sigma} - (\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}})^n_{\sigma} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{int}}_K \quad \overline{\sigma} = \overline{K} \cap \overline{L}.$$

Discretization of the hydrogen equation

$$S^n_{\mathbf{h},K}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) := |K|\partial_t^n l_{\mathbf{h},K} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} F_{\mathbf{h},K,\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) - |K|Q_{\mathbf{h},K}^n = \mathbf{0},$$

#### **Total flux**

 $F_{\mathbf{h},\mathcal{K},\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) := \beta^{\mathbf{l}} \chi_{\sigma}^n(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathbf{l}})_{\sigma}^n(\psi^{\mathbf{l}})_{\sigma}^n + (\psi^{\mathbf{g}})_{\sigma}^n(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathbf{g}})_{\sigma}^n(\rho^{\mathbf{g}})_{\sigma}^n + (\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{l}})_{\sigma}^n, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathrm{int}} \quad \overline{\sigma} = \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}}.$ 

At each time step, for each components, we obtain the nonlinear system of algebraic equations

$$S_{c,K}^n(\boldsymbol{U}_h^n)=0$$

A posteriori analysis

#### **Discretization of the water equation**

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K}}^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}):=|\mathcal{K}|\partial_{t}^{n}l_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K}}+\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K},\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n})-|\mathcal{K}|Q_{\mathrm{w},\mathrm{K}}^{n}=0,$$

#### **Total flux**

$$F_{\mathrm{w},K,\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) := \rho^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}})^n_{\sigma}(\psi^{\mathrm{l}})^n_{\sigma} - (\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}})^n_{\sigma} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{int}}_K \quad \overline{\sigma} = \overline{K} \cap \overline{L}$$

#### Discretization of the hydrogen equation

$$S_{\mathrm{h},K}^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}) := |\mathcal{K}|\partial_{t}^{n}\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{h},K} + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{K}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{h},K,\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}) - |\mathcal{K}|Q_{\mathrm{h},K}^{n} = 0,$$

#### **Total flux**

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{h},\mathcal{K},\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) := \beta^{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{\sigma}^n(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}})_{\sigma}^n(\psi^{\mathrm{l}})_{\sigma}^n + (\psi^{\mathrm{g}})_{\sigma}^n(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{g}})_{\sigma}^n(\rho^{\mathrm{g}})_{\sigma}^n + (\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})_{\sigma}^n, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathrm{int}} \quad \overline{\sigma} = \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}}.$ 

At each time step, for each components, we obtain the nonlinear system of algebraic equations

$$S_{c,K}^n(U_h^n)=0$$

A posteriori analysis

#### Conclusion

#### Discretization of the water equation

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K}}^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}) := |\mathcal{K}|\partial_{t}^{n}l_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K}} + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}}F_{\mathrm{w},\mathcal{K},\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}) - |\mathcal{K}|Q_{\mathrm{w},\mathrm{K}}^{n} = 0,$$

#### **Total flux**

$$F_{\mathrm{w},K,\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) := \rho^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}})^n_{\sigma}(\psi^{\mathrm{l}})^n_{\sigma} - (\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}})^n_{\sigma} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{int}}_K \quad \overline{\sigma} = \overline{K} \cap \overline{L}$$

#### Discretization of the hydrogen equation

$$S_{\mathrm{h},K}^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}) := |\mathcal{K}|\partial_{t}^{n}\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{h},K} + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{K}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{h},K,\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n}) - |\mathcal{K}|Q_{\mathrm{h},K}^{n} = 0,$$

#### **Total flux**

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{h},\mathcal{K},\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) := \beta^{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{\sigma}^n(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{l}})_{\sigma}^n(\psi^{\mathrm{l}})_{\sigma}^n + (\psi^{\mathrm{g}})_{\sigma}^n(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{g}})_{\sigma}^n(\rho^{\mathrm{g}})_{\sigma}^n + (\mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})_{\sigma}^n, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathrm{int}} \quad \overline{\sigma} = \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}}.$$

At each time step, for each components, we obtain the nonlinear system of algebraic equations

$$S_{c,\kappa}^n(U_h^n)=0$$

Introduction

Model problem and its discretization

oocoocoocooco

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Discrete complementarity problem

#### Discretization of the nonlinear complementarity constraints

 $\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}) := 1 - \boldsymbol{S}_{K}^{n} \quad \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}) := H(\boldsymbol{P}_{K}^{n} + \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{cp}}(\boldsymbol{S}_{K}^{n})) - \beta^{1}\chi_{K}^{n}$ 

The discretization reads

 $egin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}^n_{m{c},m{K}}(m{U}^n_h)=0\ &\mathcal{K}(m{U}^n_K)\geq 0,\quad \mathcal{G}(m{U}^n_K)\geq 0,\quad \mathcal{K}(m{U}^n_K)\cdot \mathcal{G}(m{U}^n_K)=0 \end{aligned}$ 

Can we reformulate the complementarity constraints?

Introduction

Model problem and its discretization

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Discrete complementarity problem

#### Discretization of the nonlinear complementarity constraints

 $\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}) := 1 - \boldsymbol{S}_{K}^{n} \quad \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{n}) := H(\boldsymbol{P}_{K}^{n} + \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{cp}}(\boldsymbol{S}_{K}^{n})) - \beta^{\mathrm{l}}\chi_{K}^{n}$ 

The discretization reads

$$\begin{split} S_{c,K}^n(\boldsymbol{U}_h^n) &= 0\\ \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) = 0 \end{split}$$

Can we reformulate the complementarity constraints?

Inte	~	du .	oti.	0.0
II IU	υı	սս	сш	וע

A posteriori analysis

### Semismoothness

### To reformulate the discrete constraints:

#### Definition (C-function)

$$orall (oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{ ext{sp}}} imes \mathbb{R}^{N_{ ext{sp}}}, \ f(oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{b}) = 0 \ \Longleftrightarrow \ oldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \ oldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \ oldsymbol{a} \cdot oldsymbol{b} = 0$$

#### min-function: min $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) = 0 \iff \boldsymbol{a} \ge 0, \ \boldsymbol{b} \ge 0, \ \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = 0.$

Application: complementarity constraints for the two-phase model

$$\underbrace{1-S_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}}_{\mathcal{K}(S_{\mathcal{K}}^{n})} \geq 0 \quad \underbrace{H(P_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}))-\beta^{1}\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}}_{\mathcal{G}(P_{\mathcal{K}}^{n},S_{\mathcal{K}}^{n},\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^{n})} \geq 0$$

The discretization reads

$$S_{c,K}^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) = 0$$
  
min  $\left(1 - S_{K}^{n}, H(\boldsymbol{P}_{K}^{n} + \boldsymbol{P}_{cp}(\boldsymbol{S}_{K}^{n})) - \beta^{1}\chi_{K}^{n}\right) = 0$ 

Int	'n	0	d		oti		
		U	u	u	ωu	U	

A posteriori analysis

### Semismoothness

To reformulate the discrete constraints:

#### Definition (C-function)

$$orall (oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{
m sp}} imes \mathbb{R}^{N_{
m sp}}, \; f(oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{b}) = 0 \; \iff \; oldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \; oldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \; oldsymbol{a} \cdot oldsymbol{b} = 0$$

min-function: min  $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) = 0 \iff \boldsymbol{a} \ge 0, \ \boldsymbol{b} \ge 0, \ \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = 0.$ 

Application: complementarity constraints for the two-phase model

$$\underbrace{1-S_{K}^{n}}_{\mathcal{K}(S_{K}^{n})} \geq 0 \quad \underbrace{H(P_{K}^{n}+P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S_{K}^{n}))-\beta^{1}\chi_{K}^{n}}_{\mathcal{G}(P_{K}^{n},S_{K}^{n},\chi_{K}^{n})} \geq 0$$

The discretization reads

 $S_{c,K}^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) = 0$ min  $\left(1 - S_{K}^{n}, H(P_{K}^{n} + P_{cp}(S_{K}^{n})) - \beta^{1}\chi_{K}^{n}\right) = 0$ 

Int	'n	0	d		oti		
		U	u	u	ωu	U	

A posteriori analysis

### Semismoothness

To reformulate the discrete constraints:

#### Definition (C-function)

$$orall (oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{ ext{sp}}} imes \mathbb{R}^{N_{ ext{sp}}}, \ f(oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{b}) = 0 \ \iff oldsymbol{a} \geq 0, \ oldsymbol{b} \geq 0, \ oldsymbol{a} \cdot oldsymbol{b} = 0$$

min-function: min  $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) = 0 \iff \boldsymbol{a} \ge 0, \ \boldsymbol{b} \ge 0, \ \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = 0.$ 

Application: complementarity constraints for the two-phase model

$$\underbrace{1-S_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}}_{\mathcal{K}(S_{\mathcal{K}}^{n})} \geq 0 \quad \underbrace{H(P_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}+P_{\rm cp}(S_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}))-\beta^{1}\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}}_{\mathcal{G}(P_{\mathcal{K}}^{n},S_{\mathcal{K}}^{n},\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^{n})} \geq 0$$

The discretization reads

$$S_{c,K}^{n}(\boldsymbol{U}_{h}^{n}) = 0$$
  
min  $\left(1 - S_{K}^{n}, H(\boldsymbol{P}_{K}^{n} + \boldsymbol{P}_{cp}(\boldsymbol{S}_{K}^{n})) - \beta^{l}\chi_{K}^{n}\right) = 0$ 

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Inexact semismooth Newton method

**Semismooth Newton linearization:** Given an initial guess  $U^{n,0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3N_{sp}}$ , consider:

$$\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1}\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n,k-1},$$

**Inexact Semismooth Newton linearization:** We use an iterative algebraic solver at the semismooth Newton step  $k \ge 1$ , starting from an initial guess  $U^{n,k,0}$  generating a sequence  $(U^{n,k,i})_{i\ge 1}$  satisfying

$$\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1}\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k,i} = \boldsymbol{B}^{n,k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}^{n,k,i}$$

Can we estimate the discretization error?

Can we estimate the semismooth linearization error?

Can we estimate the iterative algebraic error?

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Inexact semismooth Newton method

**Semismooth Newton linearization:** Given an initial guess  $U^{n,0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3N_{sp}}$ , consider:

$$\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1}\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k}=\boldsymbol{B}^{n,k-1},$$

**Inexact Semismooth Newton linearization:** We use an iterative algebraic solver at the semismooth Newton step  $k \ge 1$ , starting from an initial guess  $U^{n,k,0}$  generating a sequence  $(U^{n,k,i})_{i\ge 1}$  satisfying

$$\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1}\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k,i} = \boldsymbol{B}^{n,k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}^{n,k,i}$$

Can we estimate the discretization error?

Can we estimate the semismooth linearization error?

Can we estimate the iterative algebraic error?

Int	'n	0	d	oti	on
		U		ωu	

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Outline

### Introduction

2 Model problem and its discretization

### A posteriori analysis

4 Numerical experiments

### 5 Conclusion

Introduction

Model problem and its discretization

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Weak solution

$$\begin{split} & X := L^2((0, t_{\rm F}); H^1(\Omega)), \\ & Y := H^1((0, t_{\rm F}); L^2(\Omega)), \quad \widehat{Y} := H^1((0, t_{\rm F}); L^\infty(\Omega)), \\ & \mathcal{Z} := \left\{ v \in L^2((0, t_{\rm F}); L^\infty(\Omega)), \ v \ge 0 \ \text{on} \ \Omega \times (0, t_{\rm F}) \right\}. \end{split}$$

#### Assumption (Weak formulation)

$$\begin{split} S^{\mathrm{l}} &\in \widehat{Y}, \quad 1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \in Z, \quad l_{\mathrm{w}} \in Y, \quad l_{\mathrm{h}} \in Y, \quad P^{\mathrm{l}} \in X, \quad \chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}} \in X, \\ (\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{w}}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{h}}) &\in \left[ L^{2}((0, t_{\mathrm{F}}); \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega)) \right]^{2}, \\ \int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (\partial_{t} l_{c}, \varphi)_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{dt} - \int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{c}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{dt} = \int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (Q_{c}, \varphi)_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{dt} \quad \forall \varphi \in X, \\ \int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (\lambda - (1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}), H[P^{\mathrm{l}} + P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S^{\mathrm{l}})] - \beta^{\mathrm{l}} \chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}})_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{dt} \geq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in Z, \\ \text{the initial condition holds} \end{split}$$

$$\|\varphi\|_{X}^{2} := \sum_{n=1}^{N_{t}} \|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}^{2} \, \mathrm{dt}, \ \|\varphi\|_{X_{n}} := \int_{I_{n}} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \|\varphi\|_{X,K}^{2} \, \mathrm{dt}, \ \|\varphi\|_{X,K}^{2} := \varepsilon h_{K}^{-2} \, \|\varphi\|_{K}^{2} + \|\nabla\varphi\|_{K}^{2}$$

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Weak solution

$$\begin{split} & X := L^2((0, t_{\rm F}); H^1(\Omega)), \\ & Y := H^1((0, t_{\rm F}); L^2(\Omega)), \quad \widehat{Y} := H^1((0, t_{\rm F}); L^\infty(\Omega)), \\ & Z := \left\{ v \in L^2((0, t_{\rm F}); L^\infty(\Omega)), \ v \ge 0 \ \text{on} \ \Omega \times (0, t_{\rm F}) \right\}. \end{split}$$

#### Assumption (Weak formulation)

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{l}} \in \widehat{Y}, \quad 1 - \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{l}} \in Z, \quad \mathit{I}_{\mathrm{w}} \in Y, \quad \mathit{I}_{\mathrm{h}} \in Y, \quad \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{l}} \in X, \quad \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \in X, \\ & (\Phi_{\mathrm{w}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{h}}) \in \left[\mathcal{L}^{2}((0, \mathit{t}_{\mathrm{F}}); \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega))\right]^{2}, \\ & \int_{0}^{\mathit{t}_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\partial_{t} \mathit{I}_{c}, \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{dt} - \int_{0}^{\mathit{t}_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\Phi_{c}, \nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{dt} = \int_{0}^{\mathit{t}_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{c}, \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{dt} \quad \forall \varphi \in X, \\ & \int_{0}^{\mathit{t}_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\lambda - \left(1 - \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{l}}\right), \mathcal{H}[\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{l}} + \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{cp}}(\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{l}})] - \beta^{\mathrm{l}}\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{dt} \geq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in Z, \\ & \text{the initial condition holds.} \end{split}$$

 $\|\varphi\|_{X}^{2} := \sum_{n=1}^{N_{t}} \|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}^{2} \, \mathrm{dt}, \ \|\varphi\|_{X_{n}} := \int_{I_{n}} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \|\varphi\|_{X,K}^{2} \, \mathrm{dt}, \ \|\varphi\|_{X,K}^{2} := \varepsilon h_{K}^{-2} \, \|\varphi\|_{K}^{2} + \|\nabla\varphi\|_{K}^{2}$ 

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Weak solution

$$\begin{split} & X := L^2((0, t_{\rm F}); H^1(\Omega)), \\ & Y := H^1((0, t_{\rm F}); L^2(\Omega)), \quad \widehat{Y} := H^1((0, t_{\rm F}); L^\infty(\Omega)), \\ & Z := \left\{ v \in L^2((0, t_{\rm F}); L^\infty(\Omega)), \ v \ge 0 \ \text{on} \ \Omega \times (0, t_{\rm F}) \right\}. \end{split}$$

#### Assumption (Weak formulation)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{l}} &\in \widehat{Y}, \quad 1 - \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{l}} \in \mathcal{Z}, \quad l_{\mathrm{w}} \in Y, \quad l_{\mathrm{h}} \in Y, \quad \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{l}} \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \in \mathcal{X}, \\ &(\Phi_{\mathrm{w}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{h}}) \in \left[\mathcal{L}^{2}((0, t_{\mathrm{F}}); \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega))\right]^{2}, \\ &\int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\partial_{t} l_{c}, \varphi)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{dt} - \int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\Phi_{c}, \nabla \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{dt} = \int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(Q_{c}, \varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{dt} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{X}, \\ &\int_{0}^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\lambda - \left(1 - \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{l}}\right), \mathcal{H}[\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{l}} + \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{cp}}(\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{l}})] - \beta^{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{dt} \geq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{Z}, \\ & \text{the initial condition holds.} \end{split}$$

$$\|\varphi\|_X^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} \|\varphi\|_{X_n}^2 \mathrm{dt}, \ \|\varphi\|_{X_n} := \int_{I_n} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\varphi\|_{X,K}^2 \mathrm{dt}, \ \|\varphi\|_{X,K}^2 := \varepsilon h_K^{-2} \|\varphi\|_K^2 + \|\nabla\varphi\|_K^2$$

linte	od	lu ofi	0.0
нu	υu	ucu	

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Approximate solution

$$S_{K}^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathcal{T}_{h}) \quad P_{K}^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathcal{T}_{h}) \quad \chi_{K}^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathcal{T}_{h})$$

The discrete liquid pressure and discrete molar fraction do not belong to  $H^1(\Omega)$ We construct a conforming solution:



Space-time functions:

$$S_{h au}^{n,k,i} \in Y, \quad P_{h au}^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_2^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathcal{T}_h) \notin X, \quad \chi_{h au}^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_2^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathcal{T}_h) \notin X$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{P}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_2^{\rm c}(\mathcal{T}_h) \in X, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_2^{\rm c}(\mathcal{T}_h) \in X. \end{split}$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
Error r	neasure			

$$\left\|\mathcal{R}_{c}(S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i})\right\|_{X_{n}'} \coloneqq \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X_{n} \\ \|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}=1}} \int_{I_{n}} \left(Q_{c} - \partial_{t}I_{c,h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) + \left(\Phi_{c,h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

**Residual for the constraints** 

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}(S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i}) := \int_{I_{n}} \left(1 - S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},H\left[P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} + P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i})\right] - \beta^{1}\chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i}\right)_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

Error measure for the nonconformity of the pressure

$$\mathcal{N}_{P}(\mathcal{P}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i}) := \inf_{\delta_{1} \in X_{n}} \left\{ \sum_{\boldsymbol{c} \in \{\mathrm{w},\mathrm{h}\}} \int_{I_{n}} \left\| \underline{\mathsf{K}} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{l}}(\boldsymbol{S}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i})}{\mu^{\mathrm{l}}} \rho_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\mathrm{l}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left( \mathcal{P}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} - \delta_{\mathrm{l}} \right) (t) \right\|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\chi}(\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \inf_{\theta \in X_n} \left\{ \int_{I_n} \left\| -\phi M_{\rm h} S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \left( \frac{\rho_{\rm w}^{\rm l}}{M_{\rm w}} + \frac{\beta^{\rm l}}{M_{\rm h}} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) D_{\rm h}^{\rm l} \nabla \left( \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \theta \right) (t) \right\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
Error m	leasure			

$$\left\|\mathcal{R}_{c}(S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i})\right\|_{X_{h}^{t}} := \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X_{n} \\ \|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}=1}} \int_{I_{n}} \left(Q_{c} - \partial_{t}I_{c,h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) + \left(\Phi_{c,h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

#### **Residual for the constraints**

$$\mathcal{R}_{e}(\boldsymbol{S}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\boldsymbol{P}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\boldsymbol{\chi}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i}) := \int_{I_{n}} \left(1 - \boldsymbol{S}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i}, \boldsymbol{H}\left[\boldsymbol{P}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} + \boldsymbol{P}_{cp}(\boldsymbol{S}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i})\right] - \beta^{1} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i}\right)_{\Omega}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

Error measure for the nonconformity of the pressure

$$\mathcal{N}_{P}(P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \inf_{\delta_{l} \in X_{n}} \left\{ \sum_{c \in \{\mathrm{w},\mathrm{h}\}} \int_{I_{n}} \left\| \mathbf{\underline{K}} \frac{K_{\mathrm{r}}^{l}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})}{\mu^{\mathrm{l}}} \rho_{c}^{1} \nabla \left( P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \delta_{\mathrm{l}} \right)(t) \right\|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\chi}(\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \inf_{\theta \in X_n} \left\{ \int_{I_n} \left\| -\phi M_{\rm h} S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \left( \frac{\rho_{\rm w}^{\rm l}}{M_{\rm w}} + \frac{\beta^{\rm l}}{M_{\rm h}} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) D_{\rm h}^{\rm l} \nabla \left( \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \theta \right) (t) \right\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
Error m	leasure			

$$\left\|\mathcal{R}_{c}(S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i})\right\|_{X_{n}^{\prime}} := \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X_{n} \\ \|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}=1}} \int_{I_{n}} \left(Q_{c} - \partial_{t}I_{c,h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) + \left(\Phi_{c,h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

#### **Residual for the constraints**

$$\mathcal{R}_{e}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \int_{I_{n}} \left( 1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, H\left[ P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} + P_{cp}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) \right] - \beta^{l} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right)_{\Omega}(t) dt$$

#### Error measure for the nonconformity of the pressure

$$\mathcal{N}_{P}(\mathcal{P}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i}) := \inf_{\delta_{l} \in X_{n}} \left\{ \sum_{c \in \{w,h\}} \int_{I_{n}} \left\| \underline{\mathbf{K}} \frac{k_{r}^{l}(S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i})}{\mu^{l}} \rho_{c}^{l} \nabla \left( \mathcal{P}_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} - \delta_{l} \right)(t) \right\|^{2} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\chi}(\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \inf_{\theta \in X_n} \left\{ \int_{I_n} \left\| -\phi M_{\rm h} S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \left( \frac{\rho_{\rm w}^{\rm l}}{M_{\rm w}} + \frac{\beta^{\rm l}}{M_{\rm h}} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) D_{\rm h}^{\rm l} \nabla \left( \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \theta \right) (t) \right\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
Error m	leasure			

$$\left\|\mathcal{R}_{c}(S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i})\right\|_{X_{n}'} \coloneqq \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X_{n} \\ \|\varphi\|_{X_{n}}=1}} \int_{I_{n}} \left(Q_{c} - \partial_{t} I_{c,h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) + \left(\Phi_{c,h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i},\nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

#### **Residual for the constraints**

$$\mathcal{R}_{e}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \int_{I_{n}} \left( 1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, H\left[ P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} + P_{cp}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) \right] - \beta^{l} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right)_{\Omega}(t) dt$$

#### Error measure for the nonconformity of the pressure

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \inf_{\delta_{1} \in X_{n}} \left\{ \sum_{c \in \{\mathrm{w},\mathrm{h}\}} \int_{I_{n}} \left\| \underline{\mathsf{K}} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{l}}(\mathcal{S}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})}{\mu^{\mathrm{l}}} \rho_{c}^{\mathrm{l}} \nabla \left( \mathcal{P}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \delta_{\mathrm{l}} \right) (t) \right\|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\chi}(\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \inf_{\theta \in X_n} \left\{ \int_{I_n} \left\| -\phi M_{\rm h} S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \left( \frac{\rho_{\rm w}^{\rm l}}{M_{\rm w}} + \frac{\beta^{\rm l}}{M_{\rm h}} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) D_{\rm h}^{\rm l} \nabla \left( \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \theta \right) (t) \right\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
		00000000		

#### Definition (Error measure)

$$\mathcal{N}^{n,k,i} := \left\{ \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \left\| \mathcal{R}_c(\mathcal{S}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \mathcal{P}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) \right\|_{X_n'}^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{N}_p^2 + \mathcal{N}_\chi^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ + \mathcal{R}_e(\mathcal{S}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \mathcal{P}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$$

#### Theorem

$$\mathcal{N}^{n,k,i} \leq \eta_{\text{disc}}^{n,k,i} + \eta_{\text{lin}}^{n,k,i} + \eta_{\text{alg}}^{n,k,i}$$

How do we construct each error estimators?

Introduction

Model problem and its discretization

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Component flux reconstructions

The finite volume scheme provides

$$|\mathcal{K}|\partial_t^n l_{c,\mathcal{K}} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}} F_{c,\mathcal{K},\sigma}(\boldsymbol{U}^n) = |\mathcal{K}|Q_{c,\mathcal{K}}^n$$

#### Inexact semismooth linearization

$$\frac{|K|}{\Delta t} \left[ I_{c,K} \left( \boldsymbol{U}^{n,k-1} \right) - I_{c,K}^{n-1} + \mathcal{L}_{c,K}^{n,k,i} \right] + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}^{\text{int}}} \mathcal{F}_{c,K,\sigma}^{n,k,i} - |K| Q_{c,K}^{n} + \boldsymbol{R}_{c,K}^{n,k,i} = 0$$

Linear perturbation in the accumulation

$$\mathcal{L}_{c,K}^{n,k,i} := \sum_{K' \in \mathcal{T}_h} \frac{|K|}{\Delta t} \frac{\partial l_{c,K}^n}{\partial \boldsymbol{U}_{K'}^n} (\boldsymbol{U}_{K'}^{n,k-1}) \left[ \boldsymbol{U}_{K'}^{n,k,i} - \boldsymbol{U}_{K'}^{n,k-1} \right]$$

Linearized component flux

$$\mathcal{F}_{c,K,\sigma}^{n,k,i} := \sum_{K' \in \mathcal{T}_h} \frac{\partial F_{c,K,\sigma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{U}_{K'}^n} \left( \boldsymbol{U}^{n,k-1} \right) \left[ \boldsymbol{U}_{K'}^{n,k,i} - \boldsymbol{U}_{K'}^{n,k-1} \right] + F_{c,K,\sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{U}^{n,k-1} \right)$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis	Numerical experiments	Conclusio
		000000000		

#### Discretization error flux reconstruction:

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{h},\mathrm{disc}}^{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{i}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{n}}_{\boldsymbol{K}},\boldsymbol{1}\right)_{\sigma}:=\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{K},\sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{U}}^{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{i}}\right)\quad\forall\boldsymbol{K}\in\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$$

#### Linearization error flux reconstruction:

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h,\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{K}, 1\right)_{\sigma} := \mathcal{F}_{c,K,\sigma}^{n,k,i} - \mathcal{F}_{c,K,\sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k,i}\right) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}$$

#### Algebraic error flux reconstruction:

$$\Theta_{c,h,\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i,\nu} := \Theta_{c,h,\mathrm{disc}}^{n,k,i+\nu} + \Theta_{c,h,\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i+\nu} - \left(\Theta_{c,h,\mathrm{disc}}^{n,k,i} + \Theta_{c,h,\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i}\right) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$$

#### **Total flux reconstruction:**

$$\Theta_{c,h}^{n,k,i,\nu} := \Theta_{c,h,\text{disc}}^{n,k,i} + \Theta_{c,h,\text{lin}}^{n,k,i} + \Theta_{c,h,\text{alg}}^{n,k,i,\nu} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div},\Omega)$$

Intr	0	d	oti	<b>~</b> 1	
	U		ωuı	21	

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Error estimators

• 
$$\partial_t I_c + \nabla \cdot \Theta_{c,h}^{n,k,i,\nu} \neq Q_c \quad \Theta_{c,h}^{n,k,i,\nu} \neq \Phi_{c,h\tau}^{n,k,i}(t^n)$$
  
•  $1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \not\geq 0 \quad H \left[ P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} + P_{cp} \left( S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) \right] - \beta^1 \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \not\geq 0$   
•  $P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \notin X \quad \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \notin X$ 

**Discretization estimator** 

$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},K,c}^{n,k,i,\nu} := \min\left\{C_{\mathrm{PW}}, \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\} h_{K} \left\| Q_{c,h}^{n} - \frac{l_{c,K}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k-1}) - l_{c,K}^{n-1} + \mathcal{L}_{c,K}^{n,k,i}}{\tau_{n}} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h}^{n,k,i} \right\|_{K} \\ \eta_{\mathrm{F},K,c}^{n,k,i,\nu}(t) := \left\| \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h}^{n,k,i,\nu} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{c,h\tau}^{n,k,i}(t) \right\|_{K} \\ = \eta_{\mathrm{F},K,c}^{n,k,i}(t) + \left( \left[ 1 - \varepsilon^{n,k,i} \right]^{+} + \left[ U \left[ \frac{D^{n,k,i}}{T_{n}} + D - \left( \frac{C^{n,k,i}}{T_{n}} \right)^{+} - \varepsilon \right]_{K} \right] \right) \right\|_{K}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{P},\mathrm{K},\mathrm{pos}}^{n,\mathrm{K},\mathrm{I}}(t) := \left(\left\{1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,\mathrm{K},\mathrm{I}}\right\}^{+}, \left\{H\left[P_{h\tau}^{n,\mathrm{K},\mathrm{I}} + P_{\mathrm{cp}}\left(S_{h\tau}^{n,\mathrm{K},\mathrm{I}}\right)\right] - \beta^{1}\chi_{h\tau}^{n,\mathrm{K},\mathrm{I}}\right\}^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{K}}(t)$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K,\mathbf{i},\mathbf{c}}^{n,k,i}(t) := \left\| \mathbf{\underline{K}}^{k_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}(S_{h\tau}^{n,\kappa,i})}_{\mu^{\mathrm{l}}} \rho_{c}^{i} \nabla \left( P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \tilde{P}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right)(t) \right\|_{K}$$
$$\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K,\chi}^{n,k,i}(t) := \left\| -\phi M_{\mathrm{h}} S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \left( \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{\mathrm{l}}}{M_{\mathrm{w}}} + \frac{\beta^{\mathrm{l}}}{M_{\mathrm{h}}} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) D_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \nabla \left( \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \tilde{\chi}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right)(t) \right\|_{K}$$

Intr	0	d	oti	<b>~</b> 1	
	U		ωuı	21	

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Error estimators

• 
$$\partial_t I_c + \nabla \cdot \Theta_{c,h}^{n,k,i,\nu} \neq Q_c \quad \Theta_{c,h}^{n,k,i,\nu} \neq \Phi_{c,h\tau}^{n,k,i}(t^n)$$
  
•  $1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \not\geq 0 \quad H \left[ P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} + P_{cp} \left( S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) \right] - \beta^1 \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \not\geq 0$   
•  $P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \notin X \quad \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \notin X$ 

#### **Discretization estimator**

$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},K,c}^{n,k,i,\nu} := \min\left\{C_{\mathrm{PW}}, \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\} h_{\mathcal{K}} \left\| Q_{c,h}^{n} - \frac{l_{c,\mathcal{K}}(\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k-1}) - l_{c,\mathcal{K}}^{n-1} + \mathcal{L}_{c,\mathcal{K}}^{n,k,i}}{\tau_{n}} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h}^{n,k,i} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{F},K,c}^{n,k,i}(t) := \left\| \Theta_{c,h}^{n,k,i} - \Psi_{c,h\tau}(t) \right\|_{K}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{F},K,\mathrm{pos}}^{n,k,i}(t) := \left( \left\{ 1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right\}^{+}, \left\{ H \left[ P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} + P_{\mathrm{cp}} \left( S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) \right] - \beta^{1} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right\}^{+} \right)_{K}(t)$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K,1,c}^{n,k,i}(t) := \left\| \mathbb{K} \frac{k_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})}{\mu^{1}} \rho_{c}^{1} \nabla \left( P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \tilde{P}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) (t) \right\|_{K}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K,\chi}^{n,k,i}(t) := \left\| -\phi M_{\mathrm{h}} S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \left( \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{\mathrm{l}}}{M_{\mathrm{w}}} + \frac{\beta^{1}}{M_{\mathrm{h}}} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) D_{\mathrm{h}}^{1} \nabla \left( \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} - \tilde{\chi}_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} \right) (t) \right\|_{K}$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
Error es	timators			

#### Linearization estimator

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\mathrm{lin},K,c}^{n,k,i} &:= \left\| \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h,\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \eta_{\mathrm{NA},K,c}^{n,k,i} &:= \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\mathcal{K}} \left( \tau_{n} \right)^{-1} \left\| I_{c,\mathcal{K}} (\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k,i}) - I_{c,\mathcal{K}} (\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k-1}) - \mathcal{L}_{c,\mathcal{K}}^{n,k,i} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \eta_{\mathrm{P},K,\mathrm{neg}}^{n,k,i}(t) &:= \left( \left\{ 1 - S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right\}^{-}, \left\{ H \left[ P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} + P_{\mathrm{cp}} \left( S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right) \right] - \beta^{1} \chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right\}^{-} \right)_{\mathcal{K}} (t) \end{split}$$

Algebraic estimator

$$\eta_{\mathrm{alg},K,c}^{n,k,i} := \left\| \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h,\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i,\nu} \right\|_{K}$$
$$\eta_{\mathrm{rem},K,c}^{n,k,i,\nu} := h_{K} |K|^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \boldsymbol{R}_{c,K}^{n,k,i+\nu} \right\|_{K}$$

#### Remark

$$\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} 
ightarrow 0 \quad \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i} 
ightarrow 0 \quad \textit{when} \quad k,i 
ightarrow \infty$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis 0000000●0	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
Error es	timators			

#### Linearization estimator

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\mathrm{lin},K,c}^{n,k,i} &:= \left\| \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h,\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \eta_{\mathrm{NA},K,c}^{n,k,i} &:= \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\mathcal{K}} \left( \tau_{n} \right)^{-1} \left\| I_{c,\mathcal{K}} (\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k,i}) - I_{c,\mathcal{K}} (\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k-1}) - \mathcal{L}_{c,\mathcal{K}}^{n,k,i} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \eta_{\mathrm{P},\mathrm{K},\mathrm{neg}}^{n,k,i}(t) &:= \left( \left\{ 1 - S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right\}^{-}, \left\{ H \left[ P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} + P_{\mathrm{cp}} \left( S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right) \right] - \beta^{1} \chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right\}^{-} \right)_{\mathcal{K}} (t) \end{split}$$

#### **Algebraic estimator**

$$\eta_{\mathrm{alg},K,c}^{n,k,i,\nu} := \left\| \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h,\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i,\nu} \right\|_{K} \eta_{\mathrm{rem},K,c}^{n,k,i,\nu} := h_{K} |K|^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \boldsymbol{R}_{c,K}^{n,k,i+\nu} \right\|_{K}$$

#### Remark

$$\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} 
ightarrow 0 \quad \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i} 
ightarrow 0 \quad \textit{when} \quad k,i 
ightarrow \infty$$

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
Error es	timators			

#### Linearization estimator

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\mathrm{lin},K,c}^{n,k,i} &:= \left\| \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h,\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \eta_{\mathrm{NA},K,c}^{n,k,i} &:= \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\mathcal{K}} \left( \tau_{n} \right)^{-1} \left\| I_{c,\mathcal{K}} (\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k,i}) - I_{c,\mathcal{K}} (\boldsymbol{U}^{n,k-1}) - \mathcal{L}_{c,\mathcal{K}}^{n,k,i} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \eta_{\mathrm{P},K,\mathrm{neg}}^{n,k,i}(t) &:= \left( \left\{ 1 - S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right\}^{-}, \left\{ H \left[ P_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} + P_{\mathrm{cp}} \left( S_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right) \right] - \beta^{1} \chi_{h_{\tau}}^{n,k,i} \right\}^{-} \right)_{\mathcal{K}} (t) \end{split}$$

#### **Algebraic estimator**

$$\eta_{\mathrm{alg},K,c}^{n,k,i,\nu} := \left\| \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{c,h,\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i,\nu} \right\|_{K} \eta_{\mathrm{rem},K,c}^{n,k,i,\nu} := h_{K} |K|^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \boldsymbol{R}_{c,K}^{n,k,i+\nu} \right\|_{K}$$

#### Remark

$$\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} 
ightarrow 0$$
  $\eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i} 
ightarrow 0$  when  $k,i 
ightarrow \infty$ 

Introduction	Model problem and its discretization	A posteriori analysis 00000000●	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
Adaptiv	vity			
Algorithm	1 Adaptive inexact semi	smooth Newton a	algorithm	
Initializa $U^{n-1} \in \mathbb{I}$ Do k = k Comp Consider Initial initial	ation (semismooth New $\mathbb{R}^{3N_{\rm sp}}$ , ( $k = 0$ ) + 1 ute $\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{3N_{\rm sp},3N_{\rm sp}}$ , der the system of linear a <b>ization (linear solver):</b> I guess for the linear solve	wton): Choos $m{B}^{n,k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{3N_{ m sp}}$ algebraic equation Define $m{U}^{n,k,0} = m{U}$	e an initial vector as $\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1} \boldsymbol{U}^{n,k} = \boldsymbol{B}^{n,k-1}$ , $(i = 0)$ as	<i>∎n,k</i> −1 ( <i>U</i> <sup><i>n</i>,0</sup> ) :=
Do i = Cor Cor	i + 1 npute Residual: $\mathbf{R}^{n,k,i} =$ npute estimators	$B^{n,k-1}-\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1}U$	jn,k,i	
While	$\eta_{\text{alg}}^{n,k,i} \geq \gamma_{\text{alg}} \max\left\{\eta_{\text{disc}}^{n,k,i},\right.$	$\eta_{\text{lin}}^{n,k,i}$		

While  $\eta_{\text{lin}}^{n,k,i} \ge \gamma_{\text{lin}} \eta_{\text{disc}}^{n,k,i}$ End

Int	'n	0	d	oti	on
		U		ωu	

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Outline

### Introduction

- 2 Model problem and its discretization
- 3 A posteriori analysis
- 4 Numerical experiments
- 5 Conclusion

l mt	rod	. Loti	0.0
пп	ruu	ucu	

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Numerical experiments

- Ω: one-dimensional core with length L = 200m.
- Semismooth solver: Newton-min
- Iterative algebraic solver: GMRES.
- **Time step:**  $\Delta t = 5000$  years,
- Number of cells:  $N_{\rm sp} = 1000$ ,
- Final simulation time:  $t_{\rm F} = 5 \times 10^5$  years.





A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

## Numerical solution $t = 1.05 \times 10^5$ years





A posteriori analysis 000000000 Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Violation of the complementarity constraints



Introduction

Model problem and its discretization

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Phase transition estimator



#### $t = 1.25 \times 10^4$ years



#### Remark

This estimator detects the error caused by the appearance of the gas phase whenever the gas spreads throughout the domain.



A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

# Overall performance $\gamma_{\rm lin} = \gamma_{\rm alg} = 10^{-3}$





Intr	ad		oti	on
	uu	u	ыı	

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Complements: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister

$$[f_{\rm FB}({\pmb a}, {\pmb b})]_I = \sqrt{{\pmb a}_I^2 + {\pmb b}_I^2 - ({\pmb a}_I + {\pmb b}_I)} \quad I = 1, \dots, N_{
m sp}.$$

$(\gamma_{ m alg},\gamma_{ m lin})$	Cumulated number of Newton–Fischer–Burmeister iterations	Cumulated number of GMRES iterations			
$(10^{-1}, 10^{-1})$	100	428			
(10 <sup>-3</sup> , 10 <sup>-3</sup> )	119	751			
(10 <sup>-3</sup> , 10 <sup>-6</sup> )	482	2074			
(10 <sup>-6</sup> , 10 <sup>-3</sup> )	117	1694			
<b>Exact resolution</b>	757	10089			

- Adaptive inexact Newton–Fischer–Burmeister is faster than exact Newton–Fischer–Burmeister. It saves roughly 90% of the iterations
- Adaptive inexact Newton-min is faster than Adaptive inexact Newton–Fischer–Burmeister. It saves roughly 40% of the iterations.

Intr	ad	ucti	or
	uu	ucu	

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

### Outline

### Introduction

- 2 Model problem and its discretization
- 3 A posteriori analysis
- Numerical experiments



Intr	0	d		0	<b>~</b> 1	
	U	u	u	c,	21	

### Conclusion

- We devised for a two-phase flow problem with phase appearance and disappearance an a posteriori error estimate between the exact and approximate solution
- We treat a wide class of semismooth Newton methods
- This estimate distinguishes the error components

#### Ongoing work:

- Devise space-time adaptivity
- extension to multiphase compositional flow with several phase transitions

I. BEN GHARBIA, J. DABAGHI, V. MARTIN, AND M. VOHRALÍK, A posteriori error estimates and adaptive stopping criteria for a compositional two-phase flow with nonlinear complementarity constraints. HAL Preprint 01919067, submitted for publication, 2018

A posteriori analysis

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

# Thank you for your attention!

