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## Force Coupling Method (FCM) for Stokes Flow:

## Bidispersed results

- Developing Poiseuille flow in a channel.
- Flux migration, counter to $\nabla \phi$ but in direction of decreasing shear
- $\sum_{22}^{P}$ creates a "body force" driving the flux
- Interested in the role of forces driving the migration (contact force versus lubrication) and instantaneous dynamics
- How does this change when the particles are different sizes?



## Force Coupling Method (FCM) for Stokes Flow:

## Bidispersed Results
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\phi_{L}=30 \%, \phi_{S}=10 \%
$$
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## FCM for Stokes Flow

- Uses low-order force multipole expansions to represent the particles.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla p & =\mathbf{f}^{D}+\mu \nabla^{2} \mathbf{u}+\sum_{n=1}^{N_{D}}\left\{\mathbf{F}^{n} \Delta_{M}\left(\mathbf{r}^{n}\right)+\left(G^{n} \cdot \nabla\right) \Delta_{D}\left(\mathbf{r}^{n}\right)\right\} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} & =0 \\
\Delta_{M}(\mathbf{r}) & =\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \sigma_{M}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{2 \sigma_{M}^{2}}\right), \sigma_{M}=a / \sqrt{\pi} \\
\Delta_{D}(\mathbf{r}) & =\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \sigma_{D}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{2 \sigma_{D}^{2}}\right), \sigma_{D}=a /(6 \sqrt{\pi})^{1 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Translational and angular velocities obtained from weighted volume integrals.

$$
\mathbf{V}_{i}=\int(u)_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta_{M}(\mathbf{r}) d^{3} \mathbf{x}, \Omega_{i}=\int \epsilon_{i j k} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{k}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta_{D}(\mathbf{r}) d^{3} \mathbf{x}
$$

- Mobility problem:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
U-U^{\infty} \\
\Omega-\Omega^{\infty} \\
-E^{\infty}
\end{array}\right]=M^{F C M}\left[\begin{array}{c}
F \\
T \\
S
\end{array}\right]
$$

## FCM for Stokes Flow: Near-Field Interactions

- Short range contact forces
- Lubrication viscous forces: Pairwise addition of two-body resistance matrices ${ }^{1}$
- Use preconditioned conjugate gradient method for solving $R^{-1}$ terms. Two steps: outer iteration for FCM dipole terms, inner iteration for local lubrication forces and torques-important for closely clustered particles. ${ }^{2}$
- Condition number of $\mathcal{R}$ scales like $10^{3} / \epsilon$.
- Condition number of $M_{S E}$ scales like $\left(a_{L} / a_{S}\right)^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}^{L u b} & =\mathcal{R} \mathbf{U} \\
\mathbf{U}^{T} & =\left(\mathbf{V}^{T}, \Omega^{T}\right) \\
\mathcal{R} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
R_{V F} & R_{\Omega F} \\
R_{V T} & R_{\Omega T}
\end{array}\right] \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
U-U^{\infty} \\
\Omega-\Omega^{\infty} \\
-E^{\infty}
\end{array}\right] } & =M^{F C M}\left[\begin{array}{c}
F \\
T \\
S
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure: Sample resistance functions ${ }^{3}$. $\xi=\epsilon /\langle a\rangle$
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## Moving Least Squares (MLS) basic idea

We want to approximate a function $u$ near a point $x_{i}$. Define

$$
u_{h}\left(x ; x_{i}\right)=q^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

where $q^{*}$ is the solution to a weighted $I_{2}$ optimization problem:

$$
q^{*}=\arg \min _{q \in \pi_{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[u\left(x_{j}\right)-q\left(x_{j}\right)\right]^{2} W_{i j}
$$

Operator $D^{\alpha}$ is found by applying $D^{\alpha}$ to the reconstruction:

$$
D^{\alpha} \mathbf{u}_{i} \approx D_{h}^{\alpha} \mathbf{u}_{i}:=D^{\alpha} q^{*}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)
$$

## MLS for Stokes Flow ${ }^{4}$

- Recently developed Staggered MLS scheme for numerical solutions of Stokes flow
- Polynomial interpolants are used to represent the flow using least squares minimization
- High order accurate (4th or 6th order easily
 obtained by changing the order of the polynomial interpolants.)
- Force-free and torque-free colloids with position $\mathbf{X}_{i}$, orientation $\Theta_{i}$, and boundary $\partial \Omega_{i}$.

$$
\begin{cases}-\nu \nabla^{2} \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=\mathbf{f} & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{w} & \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega \\ \mathbf{u}=\dot{\mathbf{X}}_{i}+\dot{\Theta}_{i} \times\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_{i}\right) & \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{i}\end{cases}
$$



Figure: Point adaptivity for colloids interacting under shear flow. Particle adaptivity visualized by rendering spheres at each point with diameter proportional to $\epsilon_{i}$
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## MLS for Stokes Flow

Choose $\mathbf{u}$ in the space of divergence free vector fields.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{cases}\nu \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=\mathbf{f} & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{w} & \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega\end{cases} \\
\begin{cases}\nabla^{2} p=\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\
\partial_{n} p+\nu \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{u}=\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{f} & \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega\end{cases} \\
\begin{cases}\mathbf{u}=\dot{\mathbf{X}}_{i}+\dot{\Theta}_{i} \times\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_{i}\right) & \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{i} \\
m_{i} \ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{i}=\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} \sigma_{v} \cdot d A & \\
\iota_{i} \ddot{\Theta}_{i}=\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_{i}\right) \times \sigma_{v} \cdot d A\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure: Exact and MLS results for trajectory of particles in shear flow for varying initial colloid configuration.


Figure: Square particles of unit size in shear flow.

## MLS Discretization

- Identify a virtual dual face with each edge and a virtual cell with each node.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div}_{h}: E \rightarrow N \\
\operatorname{grad}_{h}: N \rightarrow E \\
\operatorname{grad}_{h}(\phi)_{i j}=\int_{e_{i j}} \nabla \phi \cdot d s=\phi_{j}-\phi_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

- To define $\operatorname{div}_{h}$, first define the radial component function $\mathbf{u}_{i \rightarrow}(\mathbf{x}):=\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot 2\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$.
- For sufficiently smooth $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{i \rightarrow}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{i \rightarrow}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$ hold.
- Reconstruct the vector fields and divergences at nodes by sampling
 component functions at edges:

$$
\begin{gathered}
q^{*}=\arg \min _{q \in \pi_{m}}\left\{\sum_{j}\left[\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i j}\right) \cdot 2\left(\mathbf{x}_{i j}-\mathbf{x}\right)-q\left(\mathbf{x}_{i j}\right)\right]^{2} W_{i j}\right\} \\
\mathbf{u}_{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \nabla q^{*}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right), \quad \nabla_{h} \cdot \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \nabla \cdot \nabla q^{*}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## MLS Discretization

- Viscous operator:

$$
\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \approx \nabla \times \nabla \times_{h} \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right):=\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v}^{*}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)
$$

- Standard discretization over this basis:

$$
\mathbf{v}^{*}=\arg \min _{v \in \pi_{m}^{d i v}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{P}}\left[\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}\right)-\mathbf{v}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}\right)\right]^{2} W_{i j}\right\}
$$

- Because of the form of minimizing a polynomial, we can write each quantity as a linear combination:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{h}^{2} p_{i} & =\sum_{j \in\left(W_{i j}\right)} \alpha_{i j}^{1} p_{j} \\
\nabla_{h} p_{i} & =\sum_{j \in\left(W_{i j}\right)} \alpha_{i j}^{2} p_{j} \\
\nabla \times \nabla \times_{h} \mathbf{u}_{i} & =\sum_{j \in\left(W_{i j}\right)} \alpha_{i j}^{3} \mathbf{u}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Dirichlet boundary conditions are reinforced on the global matrix.
- Lack of symmetry makes it difficult to provide divergence free. Only divergence free in the local polynomial reconstruction.


## Sphere in Couette flow

- Sphere with radius $r$ in a box $\Omega=[-1,1]^{3}$.
- Boundary conditions: $u=(0,0, y)$ on $\partial \Omega$.



## Sphere in Couette flow

$\Omega_{x}=\frac{1}{2}=0.5$


FIGURE: $N=12^{3}, \Omega_{X}=0.500684$


Figure: $N=24^{3}, \Omega_{x}=0.496785$


Figure: $N=32^{3}, \Omega_{x}=0.500149$


Figure: $N=48^{3}, \Omega_{x}=0.499069$

## Sphere settling

- Sphere with radius $a$ in a box $\Omega=[-1,1]^{3}$.
- Boundary conditions: $u=(0,0,0)$ on $\partial \Omega$.
- Body force $F=\left(0,-6 \pi \mu a U_{0}, 0\right)$ imposed on the sphere.



## Sphere settling

$$
N=48^{3}
$$



## Conclusions

- Have fast and stable methods using only the graph of neighbor connectivity.
- Applications include irregular domains and non-spherical particle shapes with higher order accuracy
- Future work: coupling FCM and MLS
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