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## The immune system is a distributed complex system composed of circulating random detectors

Naive lymphocytes (detectors) circulate (patrol) via blood and lymph.
Naive B lymphocytes are born in the bone marrow and can be triggered to produce antibodies.
Naive T lymphocytes are born and selected in the thymus and can differentiate into helper (CD4) or killer (CD8) T cells.

Each lymphocyte express a randomly generated protein (receptor) that by chance binds a very small fraction ( $<10^{-5}$ ) of the proteins (ligands) in our environment.

After binding cognate ligand, naive cells expand and "decide" on their effector function.

Decisions are remembered because a fraction of the cells persist as "memory" cells (immunity).

$10^{11}$ naive $T$ cells (CD4 and CD8)

Memory T cells

## How can $10^{4}$ genes make $10^{8}$ proteins?



DNA makes RNA makes protein

Variable (V), diversity (D), joining (J) gene regions each containing many variants: diversity by combinatorics, and random insertions and deletions


DNA fragments recombine in thymus

$V_{i}$


## Formation of T cell receptors: reshuffling of gene segments



# Statistical inference of the generation probability of T-cell receptors from sequence repertoires 

Anand Murugan ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Thierry Mora ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Aleksandra M. Walczak ${ }^{\text {c }}$, and Curtis G. Callan, Jr. ${ }^{\text {a,d,1 }}$


## How is this huge diversity maintained in an "ecosystem" of so many competing populations?

- All naive T cells basically compete for a single resource (IL-7) -> exclusion
- Naive T cells require contacts with cognate self-antigen -> niche differentiation
- At young age populations are maintained by immigration (from the thymus) but this source vanishes after puberty -> late exclusion
- Diversity of TCRs in young and elderly people differs "only" 2-fold
- The time scale of the competitive exclusion depends on cellular lifespans
- Naive T cells are long-lived (5-10 y) \& memory T cells short-lived (6 mo).
- BTW naive and memory T cells compete for different resources.


## How long do T cells live in humans?



Deuterium $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and hydrogen are incorporated in DNA upon cell division only

Human naive $T$ cells have an average lifespan of $5-10$ y


Aged (■) and young ( $\square$ ) volunteers drink deuterated water for $8-9$ weeks.

## Estimate thymic output by measuring fraction of T cells with a T-cell receptor excision circle (TREC)

TREC is a DNA circle produced when the TCR re-arranges.
TRECs not duplicated upon division.


Rodewald Nature 1998

TRECs are long-lived: in humans they persist for decades after thymectomy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} N}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =s(t)+(p-d) N \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} T}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =c s(t)-d T
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $A \equiv T / N$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} A}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\frac{s(t)}{N}(c-A)-p A=0 \\
\frac{A}{c} & =\frac{s(t)}{s(t)+p N(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Naive T-cell pool: $N$


TREC is a marker for a cell originally produced in the thymus (after normalization)

Thymus accounts for <20\% of the production of naive T cells in young humans adults and for $<2 \%$ in healthy elderly
A $\rightarrow$ naive $\mathrm{CD4}^{+} \quad \times \mathrm{SP}$


## Consider a highly diverse naive T cell pool in which thymic output is the only source of new clonotypes



Birth, death \& immigration model

## Let's start with a "neutral" model where all populations have the same division and death rates

The Unified Neutral Theory of BIODIVERSITY AND BIOGEOGRAPHY

## STEPHEN P. HUBBELL




$$
N=10^{11} \text { cells }
$$

## A BDI model for naive T-cell dynamics

Event driven dynamics on the level of the full pool: remove a single cell and replace with a new one
Two (known) coming from the thymus $(\theta)$ or a division event (1- $\theta$ ) parameters:
$\theta$ and $k$
$\underset{\text { Production }}{\text { Thymic }} \xrightarrow{\frac{\theta}{k}} \mathrm{TCR} \sigma$ with $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$


Markov-chain of a single clonotype


## Simulate a whole mouse of $10^{7}$ naive T cells: Clone size distribution approaches steady state



$N=10^{7}$ cells; $\theta=0.1 ; \mathrm{k}=1 ; 10^{9}$ events ( $\theta$ is a humanized choice here)

Now the data


Amplify TCR mRNA Sequence

Interpretation by modeling


Error correction algorithms

| A | B | c | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRCV | 6001 2001 |  | wind mas | 0004 | 006 | ड06 |
| TRCNosococosect Csinik | \％274 | 2420 | $12 \times 2$ | mos | 100 | $\underbrace{1093}_{202}$ |
|  | 658 | 24228 | 3408 | 15910 | 5418 | $\times 27$ |
|  | 443 | \％ess | 510 | 6319 | 9741 | 1639 |
| ThCN000000688 Cosal | $\geqslant$ | 2345 | \＄19 | T\％ | 650 | 5238 |
| TRCNOCOCO64 $7260 \mathrm{wp} \mathrm{\times 2}$ | 2238 | 064 | 3187 | mb | 3162 | 2779 |
| acrobecocy 71.10030 | 。 | 。 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  | 1593 |
| 9 TMCNOCOC002／22：0N／22 | 1 | 。 | － 0 | 0 | 0 | 1535 |
|  | Q | － | 18 |  |  | 3 s |
|  | 2702 | － 0 | 170 |  | S007 | － 744 |
| 12 TMCY00000072SNEw | 3388 | 38 | － 6 | $5 \times 54$ | 1018 | 3194 |
|  | － | W\％ | － 0 | 291 | T\％ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Crocococatiznexs | 1043 |  | 4145 | 1679 |  | － |
|  | 5350 | 1254 |  |  | 7200 | 2021 |
| 16 TRCW | － |  | 3004 | cum | 1004 | 215 |
| 17 ThCW500000778 Mest？ | － | 348 | soce | 527 | 15008 | 1523 |
| 1／ThCumococe 142 2 STM1 | ． | ． | 0 | S00 | 7 | 0 |
|  | － |  | － 4 | 3 | 4 | $3^{3}$ |
| $20.18 C N 000000274585 \mathrm{Mk1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | \％ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 3 |
|  | 14413 |  | 54 | suem | 0 | 238 |
|  | O000 | 4003 | $\square 0$ | － | 1289 | 20062 |

## PCR amplification protocol



## Bioinformatics

Each cDNA is identified with a "barcode": UMI


RTCR: a pipeline for complete and accurate recovery of $T$ cell repertoires from high throughput sequencing data

Bram Gerritsen ${ }^{1, *}$, Aridaman Pandit ${ }^{1}$, Arno C. Andeweg ${ }^{2}$ and Rob J. de Boer ${ }^{1}$

## Sequencing of TCR mRNA involves two sampling steps

Naive T-cell pool


A few cells may contribute several mRNAs and then seem to represent large clonotypes

## TCRA and TCRB mRNA from naive CD4+ and CD8+ $T$ cells

 sampled from blood in two healthy adult volunteers- 4 data sets ( A \& B chain $\times$ CD4 and CD8) of about $10^{5}$ reads (Illumina MiSeq)
- correct for impurities by removing reads overlapping with memory cells
- reads are error corrected by UMIs and by correcting UMIs



## Large naive clonotypes have high production probabilities

- Some TCRs are made much more easily than others
- Generation probabilities of all TCRA and TCRB sequences determined with IGoR (Marcou, Nat. Comm. 2018)


High-throughput immune repertoire analysis with IGoR

Supports neutrality: if TCR-based competition (niches) would dominate naive T-cell dynamics, one would not expect this.

To what extent can generation probabilities explain clone-sizes of naïve T-cells?


Competition for IL7 modulated by self-pMHC?


Naïve Volunteer $1 \underset{\square}{T}$

## A BDI model for naive T-cell dynamics

Event driven dynamics on the level of the full pool: remove a single cell and replace with a new one
Two (known) coming from the thymus $(\theta)$ or a division event (1- $\theta$ ) parameters:
$\theta$ and $k$
$\underset{\text { Production }}{\text { Thymic }} \xrightarrow{\frac{\theta}{k}} \mathrm{TCR} \sigma$ with $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$


Markov-chain of a single clonotype


Steady-state solution of the model allows us to predict the full clone-size distribution

$$
S_{i} i \frac{1}{N}=S_{i-1}(i-1) \frac{1-\theta}{N}+\mathcal{P}(\sigma) \frac{\theta}{k} \sum_{j=\max (i-k, 0)}^{i-1} S_{j}, \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq N
$$



Clone size in full pool

## Sequencing of TCR mRNA involves two sampling steps

Naive T-cell pool

$\sim 10^{11}$ cells

## Model

## Binomial sample

Cells in FACS-sorted sample


## Binomial sample

mRNA-molecules sequenced


A few cells may contribute several mRNAs and then seem to represent large clonotypes

## Neutral BDI model predicts the distribution of TCRA-clonotypes well, but TCRB-clonotypes appear larger than predicted





So a few clones are very large.

Is this true? Circumvent the mRNA problem by taking 3 subsamples before RNA-extraction

- Use the number of sub-samples a clonotype appears in (incidence) to estimate its clone-size
- Single cells can only contribute mRNA to a single subsample


Solve the mRNA problem by making 3 sub-samples before RNA-extraction

- TCRA-clonotypes appear in multiple subsamples as a result of their high generation probability (blue colors)
- TCRB-clonotypes are larger than predicted, but for another reason (not blue)

Incidence
2


## Study aging by NGS sequencing of TCR repertoire



## Richness during aging not at steady state

Humanized mouse simulation:
$N=10^{7}$ cells, $k=1$, red: solution.

Thymic output $\theta$ initially 0.2
$5 \%$ decrease thymic output/year cells live 10 y : a year is $10^{6}$ events


## Steady state repertoire is aging

- steady state diversity declines
- largely because small clones tend to go extinct
- middle-sized clones fill in and become larger
- large clones remain the same




## Steady state repertoire is aging

- Blue clones (high $P(\sigma)$ ) remain large
- The yellow-red clones (low P( $\sigma$ )) that survive become larger
- immune responses in elderly biased toward high P( $\sigma$ )?
- prediction to be tested with Igor
- back to germline?



## Conclusions

Most naive clones are expected to be very small, but a few are very large.
Large clonotypes tend to have high generation probabilities
A neutral BDI model is sufficient to explain the TCRA data and most of the TCRB data
VDJ-recombination probabilities dominate over TCR-dependent fitness differences in shaping the naive T -cell pool. Tonic signaling is neutral.

> Repertoire diversity erodes by aging, but very slowly.

Aging enriches for easy-to-make clones (testable prediction)

# $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{J}$ recombination shapes the distribution of TCR chains in the naive $T$-cell repertoire 
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CD4


Enrich for naive clones by removing all naive TCRs also occurring in any of the non-naive subsets. Correct for mutations in barcodes.


